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#### Abstract

The aim of this chapter is to get you guys be familiar with quantitative tools in discrete-time stochastic process and their applications in dynamic programming methods. This chapter is based on the following materials.


- Durrett, Rick. Probability: theory and examples. Cambridge university press, 2010.
- Stokey, Nancy L. Recursive methods in economic dynamics. Harvard University Press, 1989.
- Ross, Sheldon M. Stochastic processes. Vol. 2. New York: John Wiley \& Sons, 1996.
- Lecture Notes of "Dynamic Optimization" by Professor Zhu Shenghao, https://shenghaozhu.weebly.com/teaching-materials.html


## 1 Introduction to Stochastic Process

A stochastic process is a collection of random variables indexed by time.

An alternate view is that it is a probability distribution over a space of paths; this path often describes the evolution of some random value, or system, over time. In a deterministic process, there is a fixed trajectory (path) that the process follows, but in a stochastic process, we do not know a priori which path we will be given. One should not regard this as having no information of the path since the information on the path is given by the probability distribution. For example, if the probability distribution is given as one path having probability one, then this is equivalent to having a deterministic process. Also, it is often interpreted that the process evolves over time. However, from the formal mathematical point of view, a better picture to have in mind is that we have some underlying (unknown) path, and are observing only the initial segment of this path.

For example, the function $f: \mathbb{R}_{\geq} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $f(t)=t$ is a deterministic process, but a "random process" $f: \mathbb{R}_{\geq} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $f(t)=t$ with probability $\frac{1}{2}$ and $f(t)=-t$ with probability $\frac{1}{2}$ is a stochastic process. This is a rather degenerate example and we will later see more examples of stochastic processes.

We are still dealing with a single basic experiment that involves outcomes governed by a probability law. However, the newly introduced time variable allows us to ask many new interesting questions. We emphasize on the following
topics:

- We tend to focus on the dependencies in the sequence of values generated by the process. For example, how do future prices of a stock depend on past values?
- We are interested in long-term averages involving the entire sequence of generated values. For example, what is the fraction of time that a machine is idle?
- We are interested in boundary events. For example, what is the probability that within a given hour all circuits of some telephone system become simultaneously busy

A stochastic process has discrete-time if the time variable takes positive integer values, and continuous-time if the time variable takes positive real values. We start by studying discrete time stochastic processes. These processes can be expressed explicitly, and thus are more 'tangible', or 'easy to visualize'. Later we address continuous time processes.

## 2 Simple Random Walk

Let $Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots$ be i.i.d random variables such that $Y_{i}=1$ or $Y_{i}=-1$. Let $X_{0}=0$ and

$$
X_{k}=Y_{1}+\ldots+Y_{k}
$$

for all $k \geq 1$. This gives a probability distribution over the sequences $\left\{X_{0}, X_{1}, \ldots,\right\}$, and thus defines a discrete time stochastic process. This process is known as the one-dimensional simple random walk, which we conveniently refer to as random walk from now on.

By the central limit theorem, we know that for large enough $n$, the distribution of $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} X_{n}$ converges to the normal distribution with mean 0 and variance 1. This already tells us some information about the random walk. We state some further properties of the random walk.

Theorem 2.1. - $\mathbb{E}\left[X_{k}\right]=0$ for all $k$;

- (Independent increment) For all $0=k_{0} \leq k_{1} \leq \ldots \leq k_{r}$, the random variable $X_{k_{i+1}}-X_{k_{i}}$, for $0 \leq i \leq r-1$ are mutually independent.
- (Stationary) For all $h \geq 1$ and $k \geq 0$, the distribution of $X_{k+h}-X_{k}$ is the same as the distribution of $X_{h}$

Example 2.2. - Suppose that a gambler plays the following game. At each turn the dealer throws an un-biased coin, and if the outcome is head the gambler wins \$1, while if it is head she loses $\$ 1$. If each coin toss is independent, then the balance of the gambler has the distribution of the simple random walk.

- Random walk can also be used as a (rather inaccurate) model of stock price.


## 3 Markov Chain

One important property of the simple random walk is that the effect of the past on the future is summarized only by the current state, rather than the whole history. In other words, the distribution of $X_{k+1}$ depended only on the value of $X_{k}$, not on the whole set of values of $X_{0}, X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{k}$. A stochastic process with such property is called a Markov chain.

More formally, let $X_{0}, X_{1}, \ldots$, be a discrete time stochastic process where each $X_{i}$ takes value in some discrete set S (note that this is not the case in the simple random walk). The set S is called the state space. We say that the random process has the Markov property if

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(X_{n+1}=i \mid X_{n}, X_{n-1}, \ldots, X_{0}\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(X_{n+1}=i \mid X_{n}\right)
$$

for all $n \geq 0$ and $i \in S$. We will discuss the case when S is a finite set. In this case, we let $S=[m]$ for some positive integer $m$.

A stochastic process with the Markov property is called a Markov chain. Note that a finite Markov chain can be described in terms of the transition probabilities

$$
p_{i j}=\mathbb{P}\left(X_{n+1}=j \mid X_{n}=i\right), i, j \in S
$$

One could easily see that

$$
\sum_{j \in S} p_{i j}=1, \forall i \in S
$$

Thus all the elements of a Markov chain could be encoded into a transition probability matrix

$$
A=\left\{\begin{array}{cccc}
p_{11} & p_{21} & \cdots & p_{m 1} \\
p_{12} & p_{22} & \cdots & p_{m 2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
p_{1 m} & p_{2 m} & \cdots & p_{m m}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Note that the sum of each column is equal to one.

Example 3.1. - A machine can be either working or broken on a given day. If it is working, it will break down in the next day with probability 0.01, and will continue working with probability 0.99. If it breaks down on a given day, it will be repaired and be working in the next day with probability 0.8, and will continue to be broken down with probability 0.2. We can model this machine by a Markov chain with two states: working, and broken down. The transition probability matrix is given by

- A simple random walk is an example of a Markov chain. However, there is no transition probability matrix associated with the simple random walk since the sample space is of infinite cardinatlity.
Let $r_{i j}(n)=\mathbb{P}\left(X_{n}=j \mid X_{0}=i\right)$ be the $n$-th transition probabilities. These probabilities satisfy the recurrence relation

$$
r_{i j}(n)=\sum_{k=1}^{m} r_{i k}(n-1) p_{k j}
$$

for $n>1$, and $r_{i j}(1)=p_{i j}$. Hence the $n$-step transition probability matrix could be easily shown to be $A^{n}$.
A stationary distribution of a Markov chain is a probability distribution over the state space ( $\left.w h e r e \mathbb{P}\left(X_{0}=j\right)=\pi_{j}\right)$ such tat

$$
\pi_{j}=\sum_{k=1}^{m} \pi_{k} \cdot p_{k j}
$$

for $\forall j \in S$

- Let $S=\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ and $X_{0}=0$. Consider the Markov chain $X_{0}, X_{1}, \ldots$ such that $X_{n+1}=X_{n}+1$ with probability $\frac{1}{2}$ and $X_{n+1}=X_{n}-1$ with probability $\frac{1}{2}$. Then the stationary distribution of this Markov chain is $\pi_{i}=\frac{1}{n}$ for $\forall i$.
Here is one fundamental theorem for Markov chain process, which is as,

Theorem 3.2. If $p_{i j}>0$ for all $i, j \in S$ then there exists a unique stationary distribution of the system. Moreover,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{i j}(n)=\pi_{i j}
$$

for $\forall i, j \in S$. However, a corresponding theorem is not true if we consider infinite state spaces.

## 4 Martingale

Definition 4.1. A discrete-time stochastic process $\left\{X_{0}, X_{1}, \ldots\right\}$ is a martingale if

$$
X_{t}=\mathbb{E}\left[X_{t+1} \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right]
$$

for all $t \geq 0$, where $\mathcal{F}_{t}=\left\{X_{0}, \ldots X_{t}\right\}$ (hence we are conditioning on the initial segment of the process).

This says that our expectated gain in the process is zero at all times. We can also view this definition as a Mathematical formalization of a game of chance being fair.

Theorem 4.2. For all $t \geq s$, we have $X_{s}=\mathbb{E}\left[X_{t} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]$
Proof: This easily follows from deduction and iterated law of expectations

## Example 4.3. - Random walk is a martingale

- The balance of a roulette player is not a martingale (we always have $X_{k}>$ $\left.\mathbb{E}\left[X_{k+1} \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right]\right)$
- Let $Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots$ be iid random variable such that $Y_{i}=2$ with probability $\frac{1}{3}$ and $Y_{i}=\frac{1}{2}$ with probability $\frac{2}{3}$. Let $X_{0}=0, X_{k}=\prod_{i=1}^{k} Y_{i}$. Then $\left\{X_{0}, X_{1}, \ldots\right\}$ forms a martingale.


## 5 Poisson Process

To state the deÖnition of a Poisson process we use the deÖnition of a counting process. I copy the following two concepts from Ross (1983) textbook, Stochastic Processes.

Definition 5.1. A stochastic process $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$ is said to be a counting process if $N(t)$ represents the total number of "event" that have occurred up to time $t$. Hence, a counting process $N(t)$ must satisfy:

- $N(t) \geq 0$
- $N(t)$ is integer valued
- If $s<t$, then $N(s) \leq N(t)$
- For $s<t, N(t)-N(s)$ equals the number of events that have occurred in the interval $(s, t]$

Definition 5.2. The counting process $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$ is said to be a Poisson process having rate $\lambda, \lambda>0$, if:

- $N(0)=0$
- The process has independent increments
- The number of events in any interval of length $t$ is Poisson distributed with mean $\lambda t$. That is, for all $s, t \geq 0$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\{N(t+s)-N(t)=n\}=e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^{n}}{n!}
$$

for $\forall n=0,1,2,3, \ldots$
The Poisson process is a collection $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$ of random variables, where $N(t)$ is the number of events that have occurred up to time t (starting from time $0)$. The number of events between time a and time b is given as $N(b)-N(a)$ and has a Poisson distribution. Each realization of the process $\{N(t)\}$ is a nonnegative integer-valued step function that is non-decreasing, but for intuitive purposes it is usually easier to think of it as a point pattern on $[0, \infty)$ (the points in time where the step function jumps, i.e. the points in time where an event occurs).

## 6 Dynamic Optimization with a Jump Process

Here we plan to solve an optimization problem,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\max _{\mathbb{E}_{0}} \int_{0}^{T} f(t, x, u) d t+\theta(x(T), T) \\
\text { s.t. } d x=g(t, x, u) d t+\sigma(t, x, u) d z+m(t, x, u, \omega) d q \\
x(0)=\bar{x}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $z(t)$ is a standard Brownian motion and $q(t)$ is a Poisson process. The term of $m(t, x, u, \omega) d q$ means that when a jump happens, x changes from $x(t)$ to $x(t)+m(t, x, u, \omega)$, i.e. the jump part dominates the diffusion part. If no jump happens, x follows $d x=g(t, x, u) d t+\sigma(t, x, u) d z$. Here the jump size $m(t, x, u, \omega)$ is a random variable. I use $\omega$ to represent sample path. We usually use a Poisson process to represent a jump process.

### 6.1 Principle of Dynamic Optimization

To solve the dynamic optimization problem, we could use Ito formula for stochastic process with jumps. But now we will the recursive structure of the stochastic process with jumps directly to derive Hamilton-Jacobian-Bellman equation. The optimal value function is as,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\max \mathbb{E}_{0} \int_{0}^{T} f(t, x, u) d t+\theta(x(T), T) \\
\text { s.t. } d x=g(t, x, u) d t+\sigma(t, x, u) d z+m(t, x, u, \omega) d q
\end{gathered}
$$

Let us derive HJB as

$$
\begin{align*}
J(t, x) & =\max _{u} \mathbb{E}_{t} \int_{t}^{T} f(s, x, u) d s+\theta(x(T), T) \\
& =\max _{u} \mathbb{E}_{t} \int_{t}^{t+\Delta t} f(s, x, u) d s+\int_{t+\Delta t}^{T} f(s, x, u) d s+\theta(x(T), T) \\
& =\max _{u} \mathbb{E}_{t}\left(\int_{t}^{t+\Delta t} f(s, x, u) d s+\max _{u} \mathbb{E}_{t+\Delta t} \int_{t+\Delta t}^{T} f(s, x, u) d s+\theta(x(T), T)\right) \\
& =\max _{u} \mathbb{E}_{t}\left(\int_{t}^{t+\Delta t} f(s, x, u) d s+J(t+\Delta t, x+\Delta x)\right) \\
& =\max _{u} \mathbb{E}_{t}(f(\widetilde{t}, x(\widetilde{t}), u(\widetilde{t})) \Delta t+J(t+\Delta t, x+\Delta x)) \\
& =\max _{u} \mathbb{E}_{t}(f(\widetilde{t}, x(\widetilde{t}), u(\widetilde{t})) \Delta t+\lambda \Delta t J(t+\Delta t, x+m) \\
& \left.+(1-\lambda \Delta t)\left(J(t, x)+J_{t} \Delta t+J_{x} \Delta x+\frac{1}{2} J_{x x}(\Delta x)^{2}\right)\right) \\
& =\max _{u} \mathbb{E}_{t}(f(\widetilde{t}, x(\widetilde{t}), u(\widetilde{t})) \Delta t+\lambda J(t+\Delta t, x+m) \Delta t+(1-\lambda \Delta t)(J(t, x) \\
& \left.\left.+J_{t} \Delta t+J_{x} g \Delta t+J_{x} \sigma \Delta z+\frac{1}{2} J_{x x} \sigma^{2} \Delta t\right)\right) \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $\mathbb{E}_{t} \Delta t=0$. Taking expectation operator, we have
$J(t, x)=\max _{u}\left(f(\widetilde{t}, x(\widetilde{t}), u(\widetilde{t})) \Delta t+\lambda \mathbb{E}_{t} J(t+\Delta t, x+m) \Delta t+(1-\lambda \Delta t)\left(J(t, x)+J_{t} \Delta t+J_{x} g \Delta t+\frac{1}{2} J_{x x} \sigma^{2} \Delta t\right)\right)$
We have the term of $\mathbb{E}_{t} J(t+\Delta t, x+m)$ in the equation, because $m(t, x, u, m)$ is a random variable.
Dividing by $\Delta t$ on both sides and letting $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$
\lambda J-J_{t}=\max _{u}\left(f(t, x, u)-\lambda \mathbb{E}_{t} J(t, x+m)+J_{x} g+\frac{1}{2} J_{x x} \sigma^{2}\right)
$$

## Example 6.1. (Investment return with a jump process)

Now the agent can access a risky asset: a stock with possibility to receive dividend. The value of the stock follows

$$
d S(t)=\alpha S(t) d t+\sigma S(t) d z(t)+\theta S(t) d q(t)
$$

The jump size $\theta$ could be a random variable. Here for simplicity, I assume $\theta$ is a constant. When a jump happens, the value of stock changes from $S(t)$ to $(1+\theta) S(t)$. The agent chooses optimal consumption and investment rules, $c(t)$ and $\omega(t)$ to maximize the utility

$$
\begin{gathered}
\max _{c(t), \omega(t)} \mathbb{E}_{0} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\beta t} \frac{(c(t))^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} d t \\
\text { s.t., } d w(t)=(r \omega(t)+(\alpha-r) \omega(t) w(t)-c(t)) d t+\sigma \omega(t) w(t) d z(t)+\theta \omega(t) w(t) d q(t)
\end{gathered}
$$

Let,

$$
V(w(t))=\max _{c(s), \omega(s)} \mathbb{E}_{t} \int_{t}^{\infty} e^{-\beta(s-t)} \frac{(c(s))^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} d s
$$

Then HJB is $(\lambda+\beta) V(w)=\max _{c(t), \omega(t)}\left(\frac{(c(t))^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma}+\lambda V((1+\theta \omega(t)) w(t))+\right.$ $\left.V^{\prime}(w)(r w(t)+(\alpha-r) \omega(t) w(t)-c(t))+\frac{1}{2} V^{\prime \prime}(w) \sigma^{2} \omega^{2}(t) w^{2}(t)\right)$
Then first order conditions are

$$
\begin{gathered}
(c(t))^{-\gamma}=V^{\prime}(w) \\
\lambda V^{\prime}((1+\theta \omega(t)) w(t)) \theta w(t)+V^{\prime}(w)(\alpha-r) w(t)+V^{\prime \prime}(w) \sigma^{2} \omega(t) w^{2}(t)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Guess

$$
V(w(t))=\frac{A}{1-\gamma}(w(t))^{1-\gamma}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{gathered}
c(t)=A^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}} w(t) \\
\omega(t)=\bar{\omega}
\end{gathered}
$$

Where $\bar{\omega}$ solves

$$
\gamma \sigma^{2} \bar{\omega}-\lambda \theta\left(1+\theta \bar{\omega}^{-\gamma}\right)-(\alpha-r)=0
$$

From HJB we find that

$$
A=\left(\frac{\lambda+\beta-\lambda(1+\theta \bar{\omega})^{1-\gamma}-(1-\gamma)\left(r+(\alpha-r) \bar{\omega}-\frac{1}{2} \gamma \sigma^{2} \bar{\omega}^{2}\right)}{\gamma}\right)^{-\gamma}
$$
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#### Abstract

This part of is intended to prepare you guys for the continuous-time models both in Macroeconomics II and Econometrics II. Among all five chapters of Math IIB, stochastic calculus is definitely the hardest part. This chapter is based on the following materials.


- Øksendal, Bernt. 'Stochastic differential equations.' Stochastic differential equations. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2003. 65-84.
- Karatzas, Ioannis, and Steven Shreve. Brownian motion and stochastic calculus. Vol. 113. Springer Science \& Business Media, 2012.
- Shreve, Steven E. Stochastic calculus for finance II: Continuous-time models. Vol. 11. Springer Science \& Business Media, 2004.
- Lecture Notes of "Introduction to Financial Mathematics II", National Chiao Tung University, http://ocw.nctu.edu.tw/
- Lecture Notes of "Dynamic Optimization" by Professor Zhu Shenghao, https://shenghaozhu.weebly.com/teaching-materials.html


## 1 Continuous-Time Martingales

### 1.1 Stochastic Processes

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P})$ be a probability space and let $I \subseteq[0, \infty)$ be an interval.
Definition 1.1. A real-valued stochastic process $X=\left(X_{t}\right)_{t \in I}$ is a family of random variables $\left(X_{t}: t \in I\right)$ on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$

Remark 1.2. We may regard the stochastic process $X$ as a function of two random variables

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X: I \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{R} \\
& \left.(t, \omega) \rightarrow X_{t}(\omega)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

so we could treat the random process as the two-dimensional function

- For fixed $\omega \in \Omega$, then

$$
t \rightarrow X_{t}(\omega)
$$

is a function: $I \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$, which is called a path of $X$

- For fixed $t \in I$, then

$$
\omega \rightarrow X_{t}(\omega)
$$

is a function: $\Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$, which is a random variable.

### 1.2 Martingales in continuous time

Here Let $I=[0, \infty)$
Definition 1.3. $A$ stochastic process $X=\left(X_{t}\right)_{t \leq 0}$ is called a martingale with respect to $\mathbb{P} i f$,

- for $0 \leq s \leq t<\infty$,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[X_{t} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]=X_{s}
$$

with $\mathbb{P}-$ a.s.
Definition 1.4. A stochastic process $X=\left(X_{t}\right)_{t \leq 0}$ is called a submartingale with respect to $\mathbb{P} i f$,

- for $0 \leq s \leq t<\infty$,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[X_{t} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right] \geq X_{s}
$$

with $\mathbb{P}-$ a.s.
Definition 1.5. A stochastic process $X=\left(X_{t}\right)_{t \leq 0}$ is called a supermartingale with respect to $\mathbb{P} i f$,

- for $0 \leq s \leq t<\infty$,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[X_{t} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right] \leq X_{s}
$$

with $\mathbb{P}-$ a.s.
Example 1.6. Let $Z \in L^{1}(\mathbb{P})$, then the process $\left(X_{t}\right)$ defined by

$$
X_{t}=\mathbb{E}\left[Z \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right]
$$

is one martingale, and this is easily checked by using the iterated law of expectations and Fubini theorem

### 1.3 Doob-Meyer Decomposition

Theorem 1.7. (Doob-Meyer Decomposition) Let $X=\left(X_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ be a supermartingale, then X admits a unique decomposition

$$
X_{t}=X_{0}+M_{t}-A_{t}
$$

where M is a martingale with $M_{0}=0$ and A is an increasing, right-continuous previsible process with $A_{0}=0$

Corollary 1.8. Let $M \in \mathcal{M}^{2}$ be right-continuous. Then there exists a unique right-continuous previsible process $\langle M\rangle=\left(\langle M\rangle_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ with $\langle M\rangle_{0}=0$ such that the process $M^{2}-\langle M\rangle$ is a martingale.

Remark 1.9. $M$ is martingale $\Rightarrow M^{2}$ is submartingale $\Rightarrow-M^{2}$ is supermartingale, since $\mathbb{M}\left[M_{t}^{2} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right] \geq \mathbb{M}\left[M_{t} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]^{2}=M_{s}^{2} \Rightarrow$ Apply the Doob-Meyer decompostion to $-M^{2}$, and the $A_{t}$ in the theorem is what we call $\langle M\rangle$
Definition 1.10. $\langle M\rangle_{0}=0$ is called the quadratic variation of $M$

Theorem 1.11. Let $M \in \mathcal{M}^{2, c}$. For partition $\Pi$ of $[0, t]$, set

$$
\|\Pi\| \doteq \max _{1 \leq k \leq m}\left|t_{k}-t_{k-1}\right|
$$

we have,

$$
\operatorname{plim}_{\|\Pi\| \rightarrow 0} \sum_{k=1}^{m}\left|M_{t_{k}}-M_{t_{k-1}}\right|^{2}=\langle M\rangle_{t}
$$

i.e., for any $\epsilon>0, \theta>0$, there exists $\delta>0$ such that,

$$
\max _{1 \leq k \leq m}\left|t_{k}-t_{k-1}\right|<\delta \Rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(\| M_{t_{k}}-\left.M_{t_{k-1}}\right|^{2}-\langle M\rangle_{t} \mid>\epsilon\right)<\theta
$$

## 2 Brownian Motions

### 2.1 Scaled Random Walk

Before introducing Brownian Motions, let us discuss symmetric random walk at first,

Exercise 2.1. (construction of a symmetric random walk) Toss the coin, and the probabilities for head and tail are equal, which is as,

$$
\operatorname{Prob}(\text { Head })=\operatorname{Prob}(\text { Tail })=\frac{1}{2}
$$

The successive outcome of the toss $\omega=\omega_{1} \omega_{2} \omega_{3} \omega_{4} \ldots \omega_{n} \ldots$, where $\omega_{n}$ is the outcome of the nth toss. The sample space $\Omega$ is given by

$$
\Omega=\left\{\omega: \omega=\omega_{1} \omega_{2} \ldots, \omega_{i}=^{\prime} H^{\prime} o r^{\prime} T^{\prime}\right\}
$$

Let

$$
X=\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
1, & \text { if } & \omega_{n}=H \\
-1, & \text { if } & \omega_{n}=T
\end{array}\right.
$$

and $\left(X_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ is independent
Definition 2.2. (symmetric random walk) Define

$$
\begin{gathered}
M_{0}=0 \\
M_{k}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} X_{i}, k=1,2,3,4 \ldots
\end{gathered}
$$

The process $\left(M_{k}\right)_{k \geq 0}$ is a symmetric random walk
Theorem 2.3. A random walk has independent increments, i.e., any $0=t_{0}<$ $t_{1}<t_{2}<\ldots<t_{m}=t,\left(t_{i} \in \mathbb{N}\right)$, the increments of the random walk

$$
M_{t_{1}}, M_{t_{2}}-M_{t_{1}}, M_{t_{3}}-M_{t_{2}}, \ldots, M_{t_{m}}-M_{t_{m-1}}, \ldots
$$

are independent.
Proof follows the definition, and is omitted here.

Theorem 2.4. The random variable

$$
M_{t_{k}}-M_{t_{k-1}}=\sum_{i=t_{k-1}+1}^{t_{k}} X_{i}
$$

has expectation 0 and variance $t_{k}-t_{k-1}$
Proof: Since

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbb{E}\left[X_{i}\right]=0 \\
\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{i}\right)=\mathbb{E}\left[X_{i}^{2}\right]-\left(\mathbb{E}\left[X_{i}\right]\right)^{2}=1
\end{gathered}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbb{E}\left[M_{t_{k}}-M_{t_{k-1}}\right]=\sum_{i=t_{k-1}+1}^{t_{k}} \mathbb{E}\left[X_{i}\right]=0 \\
\operatorname{Var}\left(M_{t_{k}}-M_{t_{k-1}}\right)=\sum_{t_{k-1}+1}^{t_{k}} \operatorname{Var}\left(X_{i}\right)=t_{k}-t_{k-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

and the independence is due to theorem 2.3
Theorem 2.5. $\left(M_{k}\right)$ is a martingale with respect to $\left(\mathcal{F}_{k}^{X}\right)$
Proof: Since $\left(X_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ is independent,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[M_{k}-M_{k-1} \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}^{X}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[X_{k} \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}^{X}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[X_{k}\right]=0
$$

Definition 2.6. (scaled symmetric random walk) Fixed a positive integer n, define the scaled symmetric random walk,

$$
W_{t}^{(n)}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} M_{n t}
$$

Provided $n t$ is an integer. and $n t \notin \mathbb{N}$, define $W_{t}^{(n)}$ by linear interpolation, i.e,

$$
W_{t}^{(n)}=([n t]+1-n t) W_{\frac{[n t]}{n}}^{(n)}+(n t-[n t]) W_{\frac{[n t t+1}{n}}^{(n)}
$$

Remark 2.7. The jump size is $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$, and the frequency is $\frac{1}{n}$, and we could connect all the points with straight lines

Theorem 2.8. The scaled symmetric random walk has independent increments
Proof: If $0=t_{0}<t_{1}<t_{2}<t_{3} \ldots<t_{m}=t$ satisfy $n t_{i} \in \mathbb{N}$ for all i, then

$$
W_{t_{1}}^{(n)}, W_{t_{2}}^{(n)}-W_{t_{1}}^{(n)}, W_{t_{3}}^{(n)}-W_{t_{2}}^{(n)}, \ldots, W_{t_{m}}^{(n)}-W_{t_{m-1}}^{(n)}, \ldots
$$

are independent.
Theorem 2.9. (Functional Central Limit theorem) Fixed $t \geq 0$. As $n \rightarrow \infty$, the distribution of scalar symmetric random walk $\left(W_{t}^{(n)}\right)$ evaluated at time t converges to $\mathcal{N}(0, t)$ in the distribution
Remark 2.10. The Functional Central Limit theorem would be met twice in Econometrics II, one is in Prof. Yu Jun's part, and the other in Associate Prof. Anthony Tay's part. So you guys are supposed to be able to use this theorem $100 \%$ correctly.


Figure 1: When $\mathrm{n}=1$


Figure 2: When $\mathrm{n}=2$

### 2.2 Brownian Motions

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space.
Definition 2.11. (Brownian Motions) A stochastic process $W=\left(W_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ is called a standard Brownian Motion if

- $W_{0}=0, \mathbb{P}-$ a.s.
- $\left(W_{t}\right)$ has independent increments, i.e., for $0 \leq t_{1} \leq t_{2} \leq \ldots \leq t_{m}$

$$
W_{t_{1}}, W_{t_{2}}-W_{t_{1}}, W_{t_{3}}-W_{t_{2}}, \ldots, W_{t_{m}}-W_{t_{m-1}}, \ldots
$$

are independent

- For $0 \leq s<t, W_{t}-W_{s} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, t-s)$

Remark 2.12. Difference between Brownian Motion $\left(W_{t}\right)$ and scaled symmetric random walk $W_{t}^{(n)}$.

- The scaled random walk has a natural time step $\frac{1}{n}$ and is linear between these time steps.
- The scaled random walk $W_{t}^{(n)}$ is only approximated normal for each $t$, but Brownian motion is exactly normal
- $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} W_{t}^{(n)}$ could be treated as Brownian Motions

Theorem 2.13. For $0 \leq s \leq t$, the covariance of $W_{s}$ and $W_{t}$ is as. Explicitly,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[W_{s} W_{t}\right]=s \wedge t
$$

Proof: Since $\mathbb{E}\left[W_{s}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[W_{t}\right]=0$, the covariance of $W_{s}$ and $W_{t}$ is given by,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[W_{s} W_{t}\right]= & \mathbb{E}\left[W_{s}\left(W_{t}-W_{s}+W_{s}\right)\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[W_{s}\left(W_{t}-W_{s}\right)\right]+\mathbb{E}\left[W_{s}^{2}\right] \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left[W_{s}\right]\left[\left(W_{t}-W_{s}\right)\right]+\mathbb{E}\left[W_{s}^{2}\right]=0+s+s
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 2.14. Brownian Motion is a Martingale
Proof: For $0 \leq s<t$,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[W_{t} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[W_{t}-W_{s} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]-\mathbb{E}\left[W_{s} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[W_{t}-W_{s}\right]+W_{s}=0+W_{s}=W_{s}
$$

### 2.3 Quadratic Variation of Brownian Motions

Theorem 2.15. (Quadratic Variation) The quadratic variation of the standard Brownian motion is given by

$$
\langle W\rangle_{t}=t, \mathbb{P}-\text { a.s. }
$$

for all $t \geq 0$
Proof: (Claim: $W_{t}^{w}-t$ is martingale by Doob-Meryer Decompostion) For $0 \leq s \leq t$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbb{E}\left[W_{t}^{2}-t \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\left(W_{t}-W_{s}+W_{s}\right)^{2} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]-t \\
=\mathbb{E}\left[\left(W_{t}-W_{s}\right)^{2}+2 W_{s}\left(W_{t}-W_{s}\right)+W_{s}^{2} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]-t \\
=\mathbb{E}\left[\left(W_{t}-W_{s}\right)^{2} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]+2 \mathbb{E}\left[W_{s}\left(W_{t}-W_{s}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]+\mathbb{E}\left[W_{s}^{2}\left|\mathcal{F}_{s}\right| \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]-t \\
=\mathbb{E}\left[\left(W_{t}-W_{s}\right)^{2}\right]+2 \mathbb{E}\left[W_{s}\left(W_{t}-W_{s}\right)\right]+\mathbb{E}\left[W_{s}^{2} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]-t \\
=t-s+0+W_{s}^{2}-t=W_{s}^{2}-s
\end{gathered}
$$

Due to the Doob-Meyer decomposition, we have $\langle M\rangle_{t}=t$
Remark 2.16. Let $\Pi=\left\{t_{0}, t_{1, \ldots}\right\}$ be a partition of $[0, t]$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{\|\Pi\| \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(W_{t_{i+1}}-W_{t_{i}}\right)^{2}=t \\
\lim _{\|\Pi\| \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(W_{t_{i+1}}-W_{t_{i}}\right)\left(t_{i+1}-t_{t}\right)=0 \\
\lim _{\|\Pi\| \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(t_{i+1}-t_{i}\right)^{2}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Formally, we could write it as,

$$
\begin{gathered}
d W_{t} \cdot d W_{t}=d t \\
d W_{t} \cdot d t=0 \\
d t \cdot d t=0
\end{gathered}
$$

### 2.4 Exponential Martingales

Suppose the interest rate $r=0$

$$
u_{n}=1+\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}, d_{n}=1-\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}
$$

where $\sigma$ is a positive constant (called volatility) Assume that $n t \in \mathbb{N}$, that means that up to time $t$, it is an nt-period model. The risk-neutral probability measure in the one-period model is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p=\frac{1-d_{n}}{u_{n}-d_{n}}=\frac{1}{2} \\
& q=\frac{u_{n}-1}{u_{n}-d_{n}}=\frac{1}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Just like to toss a fair coin. This implies that we might regard the nt-period model as tossing a fair coin nt times Suppose $H_{n t}=$ the number of heads in the first nt coin tosses $T_{n t}=$ the number of tails in the first nt coin tosses $M_{n t}=$ the position of the 1-dimensional random walk. And we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
H_{n t}+T_{n t}=n t \\
H_{n t}-T_{n t}=M_{n t}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Thus

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
H_{n t}=\frac{n t+M_{n t}}{2} \\
T_{n t}=\frac{n t-M_{n t}}{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

This implies that the stock price at time t is given by

$$
S_{t}^{n}=S_{0} u_{n}^{H_{n t}} d_{n}^{T_{n t}}=S_{0}\left(1+\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{\frac{n t+M_{n t}}{2}}\left(1-\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{\frac{n t-M_{n t}}{2}}
$$

Theorem 2.17. As $n \rightarrow \infty$, the distribution of $S_{t}^{n}$ converges to the distribution of

$$
S_{t}=S_{0} \exp \left(\sigma W_{t}-\frac{\sigma^{2} t}{2}\right)
$$

where $W_{t} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, t)$
Proof is easy, which uses the taylor expansion rule, and it is left as the exercise.

Definition 2.18. Let $\left(W_{t}\right)$ be a Brownian Motion with filtration $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right), \sigma \in \mathbb{R}$. The exponential martingale corresponding to $\sigma$ is defined as

$$
Z_{t}=\exp \left(\sigma W_{t}-\frac{\sigma^{2} t}{2}\right)
$$

Theorem 2.19. $\left(Z_{t}, \mathcal{F}_{\sqcup}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ is a martingale
Proof is omitted here which exactly follows the step of previous techniques.

## 3 Stochastic Integrals

### 3.1 Construction of the Stochastic Integrals with Respect to Martingale

Let $I=[0, \infty), M \in \mathcal{M}^{2, l o c}$. And the primary goal is to define an integral $\int H_{s} d M_{s}$ for a martingale M and some suitable process $H$. The first thought is to borrow the definition of Riemann-Stieltjes integral, which is as,

$$
\int_{0}^{t} f(s) d \alpha_{s}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f\left(t_{i}^{*}\right)\left(\alpha\left(t_{i+1}\right)-\alpha\left(t_{i}\right)\right)
$$

where f is continuous, $\alpha$ is of bounded variation, and $t_{i}^{*} \in\left[t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right]$ But the problem is whether $\int H_{s} d M_{s}$ could be defined in the similar way. The answer is NO! Because by the previous results Remark 1.27 , if M is a continuous nonconstant local martingale, then M is not of bounded variation, and RiemannStieltjes integral fails here. Why? Because

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} H_{t_{i}}\left(M_{t_{i+1}}-M_{t_{i}}\right) \neq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} H_{t_{i+1}}\left(M_{t_{i+1}}-M_{t_{i}}\right)
$$

which contradicts the definition of Riemann-Stieltjes integral. Another question is which kind of definition is more appropriate? Using the left end point $H_{t_{i}}$, the right end point $H_{t_{i+1}}$, and the middle is $H_{\frac{t_{i}+t_{i+1}}{2}}$. And this brings three kinds of definition for stochastic integral

- $H_{t_{i}}$ (Left-End Point): Ito Integral
- $H_{\frac{t_{i}+t_{i+1}}{2}}$ (Middle Point): Fisk-Stratonovich Integral
- $H_{t_{i+1}}$ (Right-End Point): Backward Ito Integral

From the perspective of Macroeconomics and Econometrics, the definition of Ito integral is much more useful, since it is feasible to know the current price from the future.

### 3.2 Simple Process

Remark 3.1. Define $\varepsilon^{b}=$ the collection of all bounded previsible simple processes, i.e, all processes $H$ of the form,

$$
H_{t}(\omega)=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} h^{i}(\omega) \mathbb{I}_{\left(t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right]}(t)
$$

for $0 \leq t \leq \infty$
Theorem 3.2. For $H \in \varepsilon^{b}, H \cdot M \in \mathcal{M}_{0}^{2, c}$. Moreover, if $M$ is continuous, $H \cdot M$ is continuous, i.e., $H \cdot M \in \mathcal{M}_{0}^{2}$, and

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[(H \cdot M)_{\infty}^{2}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} H_{s}^{2} d\langle M\rangle_{s}\right]
$$

Proof: Let $s \leq t$. If $s=t_{k}, t=t_{l}$ with $\mathrm{k}<1$, then,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbb{E}\left[(H \cdot M)_{t}-(H \cdot M)_{s} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]=\sum_{i=k}^{l-1} \mathbb{E}\left[h^{i}\left(M_{t_{i+1}}-M_{t_{i}}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_{k}}\right] \\
=\sum_{i=k}^{l-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[h^{i}\left(M_{t_{i+1}}-M_{t_{i}}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_{i}}\right] \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_{k}}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $h^{i}$ is $\mathcal{F}_{t_{i}}$-measurable and M is martingale, we have,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[h^{i}\left(M_{t_{i+1}}-M_{t_{i}}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_{i}}\right]=h^{i} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(M_{t_{i+1}}-M_{t_{i}}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_{i}}\right]
$$

Hence,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[(H \cdot M)_{t}-(H \cdot M)_{s} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]=0
$$

Here to simplify our discussion, we only let $s=t_{k}, t=t_{l}$. Moreover, since M is a martingale,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbb{E}\left[(H \cdot M)_{\infty}^{2}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=k}^{l-1}\left(h^{i}\right)^{2}\left(\left(M_{t_{i+1}}-M_{t_{i}}\right)^{2}\right]\right. \\
=\sum_{i=k}^{l-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(h^{i}\right)^{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(M_{t_{i+1}}-M_{t_{i}}\right)^{2} \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_{i}}\right]\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

Since M and $M^{2}-\langle M\rangle$ are martingales,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\left(M_{t_{i+1}}-M_{t_{i}}\right)^{2} \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_{i}}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[M_{t_{i+1}}^{2}-M_{t_{i}}^{2} \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_{i}}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\left\langle M_{t_{i+1}}\right\rangle-\left\langle M_{t_{i}}\right\rangle \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_{i}}\right]
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbb{E}\left[(H \cdot M)_{\infty}^{2}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left\{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(h^{i}\right)^{2}\left\langle M_{t_{i+1}}\right\rangle-\left\langle M_{t_{i}}\right\rangle \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_{i}}\right]\right\} \\
=\mathbb{E}\left\{\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(h^{i}\right)^{2}\left\langle M_{t_{i+1}}\right\rangle-\left\langle M_{t_{i}}\right\rangle \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_{i}}\right]\right\} \\
=\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} H_{s}^{2} d\langle M\rangle_{s}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

Remark 3.3. For $a<b$, we denote that,

$$
\int_{a}^{b} H_{s} d M_{s}=(H \cdot M)_{b}-(H \cdot M)_{a}
$$

Theorem 3.4. Let $H \in \varepsilon^{b}$ and let $W$ be the standard Brownian motion, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{a}^{b} H_{s} d W_{s}\right]=0 \\
\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_{a}^{b} H_{s} d W_{s}\right)^{2}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{a}^{b} H_{s}^{2} d s\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem 3.5. Let $H^{1}, H^{2} \in \varepsilon^{b}$ and $c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$, then $c_{1} H^{1}+c_{2} H^{2} \in \varepsilon^{b}$, and

$$
\left(\left(c_{1} H^{1}+c_{2} H^{2}\right) \cdot M\right)_{\infty}=\left(c_{1} H^{1} \cdot M\right)_{\infty}+\left(c_{2} H^{2} \cdot M\right)_{\infty}
$$

### 3.3 Square-integrable Processes

Theorem 3.6. If $M$ is any continuous martingale, $H$ satisfies

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{T} H_{s}^{2} d\langle M\rangle_{s}\right]<\infty
$$

, for each $T>0$, then there exists a sequence of simple process $H^{(n)}$ such that

$$
\sup _{T>0} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{T}\left|H_{s}^{(n)}-H_{s}\right|^{2}\langle M\rangle_{s}\right]=0
$$

Remark 3.7. This theorem is for any process $H$, which satisfies $\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{T} H_{s}^{2} d\langle M\rangle_{s}\right]<$ $\infty$, and we could find one simple process sequence $H^{(n)}$ to approximate $H$.

Definition 3.8. The stochastic integral of $H$ with respect to the martingale $M$ is defined by

$$
\int_{0}^{T} H_{s} d M_{s} \doteq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{T} H_{s}^{(n)} d M_{s}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} H_{i}^{(n)}\left(M_{i+1}-M_{i}\right)
$$

in the sense of $L^{2}$-sense, where $H^{(n)}$ is a sequence of simple process satisfying $\sup _{t>0} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{T}\left|H_{s}^{(n)}-H_{s}\right|^{2}\langle M\rangle_{s}\right]=0$
Remark 3.9. - The definition is well-defined, suppose there exists another sequence of simple processes $K^{(n)}$ converging to $H$ in the sense of

$$
\sup _{t>0} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{T}\left|H_{s}^{(n)}-H_{s}\right|^{2}\langle M\rangle_{s}\right]=0
$$

Then the sequence $Z^{(n)}$ with $Z^{(2 n-1)}=H^{(n)}$, and $Z^{(2 n)}=K^{(n)}$ is also convergent to $H$ in the sense of $\sup _{t>0} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{T}\left|H_{s}^{(n)}-H_{s}\right|^{2}\langle M\rangle_{s}\right]=$ 0. Thus we have,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{T} Z_{s}^{(n)} d M_{s}
$$

converges in the sense of $L^{2}-$ sense, and this means that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{T} H_{s}^{(n)} d M_{s}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{T} Z_{s}^{(n)} d M_{s}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{T} K_{s}^{(n)} d M_{s}
$$

Theorem 3.10. Let $H, K$ are square-integrable, $M$ is martingale. Then

- $\left(\int_{0}^{t} H_{s} d M_{s}\right)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ is square-integrable martingale
- $\int_{0}^{T}\left(\alpha H_{s}+\beta K_{s}\right) d M_{s}=\alpha \int_{0}^{T} H_{s} d M_{s}+\beta \int_{0}^{T} K_{s} d M_{s}$
- $\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_{0}^{T} H_{s} d M_{s}\right)^{2}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[H_{s}^{2} d\langle M\rangle_{s}\right]$
- $\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_{0}^{T} H_{s} d M_{s}\right)^{2} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[H_{s}^{2} d\langle M\rangle_{s} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]$
- $\left\langle\int_{0}^{\cdot} H_{s} d M_{s}\right\rangle_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} H_{s}^{2} d\langle M\rangle_{u}$

Corollary 3.11. If $H$ is square-integrable, $M=W=$ Brownian motion, then,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{t} H_{u} d W_{u} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]=0 \\
\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_{0}^{t} H_{u} d W_{u}\right)^{2} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{s}^{t} H_{u}^{2} d u \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]=\int_{s}^{t} \mathbb{E}\left[H_{u}^{2} \mid \mathcal{F}_{s}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem 3.12. (Kunita-Watanabe) If $M, N$ are martingales, $H$, and $K$ are martingales, then,

$$
\int_{0}^{t}\left|H_{u} K_{u}\right| d\langle M, N\rangle_{u} \leq\left(\int_{0}^{t} H_{u}^{2} d\langle M\rangle_{u}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{0}^{t} K_{u}^{2} d\langle M\rangle_{u}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

### 3.4 Ito Lemma

Exercise 3.13. In calculus, we see that if $F, G \in C^{1}$, by chain rule we have

$$
(F \circ G)^{\prime}=\left(F^{\prime} \circ G\right) \cdot G^{\prime}
$$

or in differential form

$$
\frac{d}{d t}(F(G(t)))=F^{\prime}(G(t)) \cdot \frac{d G(t)}{d t}=F^{\prime}(G(t)) \cdot G^{\prime}(t)
$$

This implies that

$$
F(G(t))-F(G(0))=\int_{0}^{t} F^{\prime}(G(s)) G^{\prime}(s) d s=\int_{0}^{t} F^{\prime}(G(s)) d G(s)
$$

But for stochastic calculus, the last equation would not hold for two reasons, the first is that the differentials for martingales and semimartingales are not defined, and the second is that there exists great differences between Ito integral and the Riemann integral.
Theorem 3.14. (one-dimensional Ito formula, continuous form) Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a $C^{2}$ - function and let $X=\left(X_{t}, \mathcal{F}_{t}\right)$ be a continuous semimartingale with the decomposition,

$$
X_{t}=X_{0}+M_{t}+A_{t}
$$

where M is a local martingale and A is of bounded variation. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
f\left(X_{t}\right)=f\left(X_{0}\right)+\int_{0}^{t} f^{\prime}\left(X_{s}\right) d X_{s}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} f^{\prime \prime}\left(X_{s}\right) d[X, X]_{s} \\
=f\left(X_{0}\right)+\int_{0}^{t} f^{\prime}\left(X_{s}\right) d M_{s}+\int_{0}^{t} f^{\prime}\left(X_{s}\right) d A_{s}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} f^{\prime \prime}\left(X_{s}\right) d\langle M\rangle_{s}
\end{gathered}
$$

Remark 3.15. In the differential form

$$
\begin{gathered}
d f\left(X_{t}\right)=f^{\prime}\left(X_{s}\right) d X_{s}+\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime \prime}\left(X_{s}\right) d[X, X]_{s} \\
=f^{\prime}\left(X_{s}\right) d M_{s}+f^{\prime}\left(X_{s}\right) d A_{s}+\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime \prime}\left(X_{s}\right) d\langle M\rangle_{s}
\end{gathered}
$$

Note that $f^{\prime}\left(X_{s}\right) d M_{s}$ is a local martingale, $f^{\prime}\left(X_{s}\right) d A_{s}+\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime \prime}\left(X_{s}\right) d\langle M\rangle_{s}$ is of bounded variation. This means that if $X$ is (continuous) semimartingale and $f \in C^{2}$, then $f(X)$ is again a semimartingale

Proof: this proof is only basic idea, not that rigorous. By Taylor expansion,

$$
f\left(X_{t_{i+1} \wedge t}\right)-f\left(X_{t_{i}}\right)=f^{\prime}\left(X_{t_{i}}\right) \Delta_{i} X+\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime \prime}\left(X_{t_{i}}\right)\left(\Delta_{t} X\right)^{2}+R_{i}
$$

where $\Delta_{i} X=X_{t_{i+1} \wedge t}-X_{t_{i} \wedge t}$ and $R_{i}$ is the error term. Summarize the above term, we get

$$
f\left(X_{t}\right)-f\left(X_{0}\right)=\sum f^{\prime}\left(X_{t_{t}}\right) \Delta_{i} X+\frac{1}{2} \sum f^{\prime \prime}\left(X_{t_{t}}\right)\left(\Delta_{i} X\right)^{2}+\sum R_{i}
$$

Due to the definition of stochastic integral and Riemann-Stieltjes integral, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum f^{\prime}\left(X_{t_{t}}\right) \Delta_{i} X & \rightarrow \int_{0}^{t} f^{\prime}\left(X_{t_{t}}\right) \Delta_{i} X \\
\frac{1}{2} \sum f^{\prime \prime}\left(X_{t_{t}}\right)\left(\Delta_{i} X\right)^{2} & \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} f^{\prime \prime}\left(X_{t_{t}}\right)\left(\Delta_{i} X\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$
\left|\sum R_{i}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum\left|f^{\prime \prime}\left(X_{t_{i}}\right)-f^{\prime \prime}\left(\widetilde{X_{t_{i}}}\right)\right|\left(\Delta_{t} X\right)^{2} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \sum\left(\Delta_{i} X\right)^{2} \rightarrow 0
$$

for large n enough, where $\widetilde{X_{t_{i}}}$ is between $X_{t_{i}}$ and $X_{t_{i+1} \wedge t}$
Remark 3.16. How to calculate $[X, X]$ ? If $X$ is continuous semimartingale, then in notation

$$
\left(d X_{t}\right)^{2}=d[X, X]_{t}
$$

Thus, the previous one formula is converted into the following one as,

$$
d f\left(X_{t}\right)=f^{\prime}\left(X_{t}\right) d X_{t}+\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime \prime}\left(X_{t}\right)\left(d X_{t}\right)^{2}
$$

Moreover, if $X=W$ is standard Brownian motion, then we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
(d t)^{2}=d t \cdot d W_{t}=d W_{t} \cdot d t=0 \\
\left(d W_{t}\right)^{2}=d\langle W\rangle_{t}=d t
\end{gathered}
$$

Exercise 3.17. Consider $X=W=$ standard Brownian motion and

$$
f(x)=x^{2}, f^{\prime}(x)=2 x, f^{\prime \prime}(x)=2
$$

thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{t}^{2}=W_{0}^{2}+\int_{0}^{t} f^{\prime}\left(W_{s}\right) d W_{s}+\int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{2} f^{\prime \prime}\left(W_{s}\right) d\left\langle W_{s}\right\rangle_{s} \\
= & \left.2 \int_{0}^{t} W_{s}\right) d W_{s}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} f^{\prime \prime}\left(W_{s}\right)(d s)^{2}=2 \int_{0}^{t} W_{s} d W_{s}+t
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e.,

$$
\int_{0}^{t} W_{s} d W_{s}=\frac{1}{2}\left(W_{t}^{2}-t\right)
$$

Theorem 3.18. (multi-dimensional Ito formula, continuous local martingale) Let $X=\left(X^{1}, X^{2}, \ldots, X^{n}\right)$ be a vector of local martingales in $\mathcal{M}^{c, l o c}$. Let $f$ : $[0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a $C^{1,2}$-function. Then

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
f\left(t, X_{t}\right)=f & f\left(0, X_{0}\right)+\int_{0}^{t} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f\left(s, X_{s}\right) d s+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f\left(s, X_{s}\right) d X_{s}^{i} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}} f\left(s, X_{s}\right) d\left\langle X^{i}, X^{j}\right\rangle_{s}
\end{array}
$$

for all t
Theorem 3.19. (Ito formula, general form) Let $X=\left(X^{1}, X^{2}, \ldots X^{n}\right)$ be an n-dimensional semimartingale with decompostion

$$
X_{t}^{i}=X_{0}^{i}+M_{t}^{i}+A_{t}^{i}
$$

for $i \leq i \leq n$, where $M^{i}$ is a local martingale and $A^{i}$ is of bounded variation. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a $C^{2}$ function, then $f(X)$ is a semimartingale and

$$
\begin{gathered}
f\left(X_{t}\right)=f\left(X_{0}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} f\left(X_{u}\right) d X_{u}^{i} \\
+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}} f\left(X_{u}\right) d\left\langle M^{i, c}, M^{j, c}\right\rangle_{u} \\
+\sum_{s \leq t}\left[f\left(X_{s}\right)-f\left(X_{s-}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} f\left(X_{s-}\right) \Delta X_{s-}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

Exercise 3.20. (stochastic dynamic programming, example used in Macro II) Assume $y=F(t, x)$, and $d x=g(t, x) d t+\sigma(t, x) d z$, and the differential of y is as,

$$
\begin{align*}
d y & =F_{t} d t+F_{x} d x+\frac{1}{2} F_{t t}(d t)^{2}+F_{t x} d t d x+\frac{1}{2} F_{x x}(d x)^{2} \\
& =F_{t} d t+F_{x} d x+F_{t x} d t d x+\frac{1}{2} F_{x x}(d x)^{2} \\
& =F_{t} d t+F_{x}(g d t+\sigma d z)+F_{t x} d t(g d t+\sigma d z)+\frac{1}{2}(g d t+\sigma d z)^{2}  \tag{1}\\
& =F_{t} d t+F_{x} g d t+F_{x} \sigma d z+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} d t \\
& =\left(F_{t}+F_{x} g+\frac{1}{2} F_{x x} \sigma^{2}\right) d t+F_{x} \sigma d z
\end{align*}
$$

And for another $\mathrm{y}, y=F\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d x_{1}=g_{1}\left(t, x_{1}\right) d t+\sigma_{1}\left(t, x_{1}\right) d z_{1} \\
& d x_{2}=g_{2}\left(t, x_{2}\right) d t+\sigma_{2}\left(t, x_{2}\right) d z_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
d z_{1} d z_{2}=\rho d t
$$

The differential of y is as

$$
\begin{align*}
d y & =F_{t} d t+F_{x_{1}} d x_{1}+F_{x_{2}} d x_{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left(F_{t t}(d t)^{2}+F_{x_{1} x_{1}}\left(d x_{1}\right)^{2}+F_{x_{2} x_{2}}\left(d x_{2}\right)^{2}+F_{t x_{1}} d t d x_{1}+F_{t x_{2}} d t d x_{2}+F_{x_{1} x_{2}} d x_{1} d x_{2}\right) \\
& =\left(F_{t}+F_{x_{1}} g_{1}+F_{x_{2}} g_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(F_{x_{1} x_{1}} \sigma_{1}^{2}+F_{x_{2} x_{2}} \sigma_{2}^{2}\right)+F_{x_{1} x_{2}} \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \rho\right) d t+F_{x_{1}} \sigma_{1} d z_{1}+F_{x_{2}} \sigma_{2} d z_{2} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

And we could now start to solve a continuous-time stochastic problem, and the optimal value function is as

$$
\begin{gathered}
J\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)=\max _{u} \mathbb{E}_{t_{0}} \int_{t_{0}}^{T} f(t, x, u) d t+\theta(x(T), T) \\
\text { s.t., } d x=g(t, x, u) d t+\sigma(t, x, u) d z
\end{gathered}
$$

Now let us write the value function recursively

$$
\begin{align*}
J(t, x) & =\max _{u} \mathbb{E}_{t} \int_{t}^{T} f(s, x, u) d s+\theta(x(T), T) \\
& =\max _{u} \mathbb{E}_{t}\left\{\int_{t}^{t+\Delta t} f(s, x, u) d s+\left(E_{t+\Delta t} \int_{t+\Delta t}^{T} f(s, x, u) d s+\theta(x(T), T)\right)\right\} \\
& =\max _{u} \mathbb{E}_{t}\left\{\int_{t}^{t+\Delta t} f(s, x, u) d s+J(t+\Delta t, x+\Delta x)\right\} \\
& =\max _{u} \mathbb{E}_{t}\{f(\widetilde{t}, x(\widetilde{t}), u(\widetilde{t})) \Delta t+J(t+\Delta t, x+\Delta x)\} \\
& =\max _{u} \mathbb{E}_{t}\left\{f(\widetilde{t}, x(\widetilde{t}), u(\widetilde{t})) \Delta t+J(t, x)+J_{t} \Delta t+J_{x} \Delta x+\frac{1}{2} J_{x x}(\Delta x)^{2}\right\} \\
& =\max _{u} \mathbb{E}_{t}\left\{f(\widetilde{t}, x(\widetilde{t}), u(\widetilde{t})) \Delta t+J(t, x)+J_{t} \Delta t+J_{x} g \Delta t+J_{x} \sigma \Delta z+\frac{1}{2} J_{x x} \sigma^{2} \Delta t\right\} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus we have

$$
0=\max _{u} \mathbb{E}_{t}\left(f(\widetilde{t}, x(\widetilde{t}), u(\widetilde{t})) \Delta t+J_{t} \Delta t+J_{x} g \Delta t+J_{x} \sigma \Delta z+\frac{1}{2} J_{x x} \sigma^{2} \Delta t\right)
$$

First take expectation operator. Note that $\mathbb{E}_{t} \Delta z=0$. Then dividing $\Delta t$ on both sides and letting $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$. Then divide $\Delta t$ on both sides and letting $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$
0=\max _{u} \mathbb{E}_{t}\left(f(t, x, u)+J_{t}+J_{x} g+\frac{1}{2} J_{x x} \sigma^{2}\right.
$$

And this equation is called the HJB equation, which would be widely used. Using first order condition, we can solve optimal $u^{*}$ as a function of $J_{x}$ and $J_{x x}$. Then plugging the expression of optimal $u^{*}$ into the HJB equation, we could solve a partial differential equation of $J(t, x)$,

$$
-J_{t}=f\left(t, x, u^{*}\right)+J_{x} g\left(t, x, u^{*}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\sigma\left(t, x, u^{*}\right)\right)^{2}
$$

This partial differential equation plus its boundary condition

$$
J(T, x(T))=\theta(x(T), T)
$$

should have a solution of $J(t, x)$.
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## 1 Ordinary Differential Equation

### 1.1 Separable Equation

### 1.1.1 Direct Transformation

## Claim 1.1.

$$
y^{\prime}=g(x) h(y)
$$

which could be separated as,

$$
\frac{d y}{h(y)}=g(x) d x
$$

and if we take integral on both sides, and derive the following as,

$$
\int \frac{d y}{h(y)}=\int g(x) d x+C
$$

Example 1.2.

$$
y^{\prime}=\frac{y}{1+x}
$$

and it could be separated as,

$$
\frac{d y}{d x}=\frac{y}{1+x}
$$

and take integral on both sides, and we have

$$
\int \frac{d y}{y}=\int d x(1+x)
$$

and we generate the following form solution as,

$$
\ln |x|=\ln |1+x|+C
$$

where $C$ is one constant, and the general solution is given as,

$$
y=e^{C}(1+x)
$$

## Claim 1.3.

$$
M_{1}(x) \cdot M_{2}(y) d x+N_{1}(x) \cdot N_{2}(y) d y=0
$$

and it could separated as,

$$
\frac{M_{1}(x)}{N_{1}(x)} d x+\frac{N_{2}(y)}{M_{2}(y)} d y=0
$$

and take integral for both sides and we derive the following as,

$$
\int \frac{M_{1}(x)}{N_{1}(x)} d x+\int \frac{N_{2}(x)}{M_{2}(y)}=C
$$

## Example 1.4.

$$
\frac{d y}{d x}=\frac{x y+3 x-y-3}{x y-2 x+4 y-8}
$$

and separate two sides as,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(x y+3 x-y-3) d x & =(x y-2 x+4 y-8) d y \\
(x-1)(y+3) d x & =(x+4)(y-2) d y \\
\left(\frac{x-1}{x+4}\right) d x & =\left(\frac{y-2}{y+3}\right) d y \\
\left(1-\frac{5}{x+4}\right) d x & =\left(1-\frac{5}{x+3}\right) d y \\
\int\left(1-\frac{5}{x+4}\right) d x & =\int\left(1-\frac{5}{x+3}\right) d y
\end{aligned}
$$

and the general solution is as,

$$
x-5 \ln x+4=y-5 \ln y+3+C
$$

### 1.1.2 Indirect Transformation

Definition 1.5. If the $O D E$ could be written as $y^{\prime}=f(x, y)$, and this equation is called first-order homogeneous ODE

Remark 1.6. Solution procedure could be generalized as,

- transform $f(x, y)$ into $f\left(\frac{y}{x}\right)$
- let $\frac{y}{x}=u$, and $y=x u, d y=x d u+u d x$
- put the above expressions back into the ODE, and we derive this as,

$$
\frac{d y}{d x}=\frac{x d u+u d x}{d x}=f(u)
$$

which as

$$
x \frac{d u}{d x}+u=f(u)
$$

and we have

$$
\int \frac{d u}{f(u)-u}=\int \frac{d x}{x}+C=\ln |x|+C
$$

## Example 1.7.

$$
y^{\prime}=\frac{y}{x}+1
$$

let $u=\frac{y}{x}$, thus $y=x u, d y=x d u+u d x$ and we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{x d u+u d x}{d x}=u+1 \\
x \frac{d u}{d x}+u=u+1 \\
\int d u=\int \frac{1}{x} d x \\
u=\ln |x|+C
\end{gathered}
$$

thus the general solution is,

$$
\frac{y}{x}=\ln |x|+C
$$

Remark 1.8. If the first-order $O D E$ is as $M(x, y) d x+N(x, y) d y=0$, in which $M(x, y)$ and $N(x, y)$ are both m-order function, and this ODE is first-order ODE, and the solution procedure could be shown as,

- transform into $M\left(\frac{y}{x}\right) d x+N\left(\frac{y}{x}\right) d y=0$
- let $\frac{y}{x}=u$, and $d y=u d x+x d u$
- put the above expression back into ODE, which is as $M(u) d x+N(u)(u d x+$ $x d u)=0$, and organize the equation, we derive the following expression as,

$$
\int \frac{d x}{x}+\int \frac{N(u)}{u N(u)+M(u)} d u=C
$$

## Example 1.9.

$$
\begin{gathered}
y^{\prime}=\frac{x-y}{x+y} \\
(x-y) d x=(x+y) d y \\
\left(1-\frac{y}{x}\right) d x=\left(1+\frac{y}{x}\right) d y
\end{gathered}
$$

let $u=\frac{y}{x}, y=x y, d y=x d u+u d x$, and we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
(1-u) d x=(1+u)(x d u+u d x) \\
\frac{1-2 u+u^{2}}{1+u}=x \frac{d u}{d x} \\
\int-\frac{1}{x} d x=\int \frac{1+u}{u^{2}+2 u-1} d u \\
-\ln |x|+C_{1}=\frac{1}{2} \ln \left|u^{2}+2 u-1\right| \\
x^{2}\left(u^{2}+2 u-1\right)=C
\end{gathered}
$$

where $C=e^{2 C_{1}}$

$$
x^{2}\left(\frac{y^{2}}{x^{2}}+\frac{2 y}{x}-1\right)=C
$$

and the general solution is

$$
y^{2}+2 x y-x^{2}=C
$$

1.1.3 $\left(a_{1} x+b_{1} y+c_{1}\right) d x+\left(a_{2} x+b_{2} y+c_{2}\right) d y=0$

Claim 1.10. If $\frac{a_{1}}{a_{2}} \neq \frac{b_{1}}{b_{2}}$ then the solution could be derived as,

- find the intersection point for $a_{1} x+b_{1} y+c_{1}=0$ and $a_{2} x+b_{2} y+c_{2}=0$
- let $x=u+\alpha \Rightarrow d x=d u$, and $y=v+\beta \Rightarrow d y=d v$ put the above expressions in to the original ODE, and get the first-order homogeneous ODE as,

$$
\left(a_{1} u+b_{1} v\right) d u+\left(a_{2} u+b_{2} v\right) d v=0
$$

- solve the ODE using the method developed for first-order homogeneous $O D E$.

Claim 1.11. $\frac{a_{1}}{a_{2}}=\frac{b_{1}}{b_{2}} \neq \frac{c_{1}}{c_{2}}$ And the solution is constructed as,

- Let $a_{2} x+b_{2} y=t$, and then $a_{1} x+b_{1} y=m t$, and $d y=\frac{d t-a_{2} d x}{b_{2}}$
- put the above expressions into the original ODE, and we have

$$
\left(m t+c_{1}\right) d x+\left(t+c_{2}\right) \frac{d t-a_{2} d x}{b_{2}}=0
$$

which is as,

$$
\int \frac{t+c_{2}}{a_{2} t+a_{2} c_{2}-b_{2} m t-b_{2} c_{1}} d t=\int d x
$$

1.1.4 $\quad\left(y^{\prime}=f(a x+b y+c)\right)$

Claim 1.12. The solution for TYPE III, $\left(y^{\prime}=f(a x+b y+c)\right)$ could be shown as,

- let $t=a x+b y+c$, and $d y=\frac{d t-a d x}{b}$
- put the above expression back into the original ODE, and we have,

$$
\frac{d y}{d x}=\frac{\left(\frac{d t-a d x}{b}\right)}{d x}=f(t)
$$

which is as,

$$
\int \frac{d t}{b f(t)+a}=\int d x+c=x+c
$$

Example 1.13. Suppose the $O D E$ is as, $y^{\prime}=\tan ^{2}(x+y)$ and let $u=x+y$, $d u=d x+d y$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{d u}{d x}=1+\tan ^{2} u=\sec ^{2} u \\
\left(\cos ^{2} u\right) d u=d x \\
\int \frac{1+\cos 2 u}{2} d u=\int d x \\
\frac{u}{2}+\frac{1}{4} \sin (2 u)=x+C
\end{gathered}
$$

and the general solution is

$$
\frac{x+y}{2}+\frac{1}{4} \sin 2(x+y)=X+C
$$

### 1.2 Exact

### 1.2.1 Exact Equation

Definition 1.14. If the first-orde $O D E$ is like $M(x, y) d x+N(x, y) d y=0$, and if there exists one function $\theta(x, y)$ satisfies $M(x, y) d x+N(x, y) d y=0$ and we call $M(x, y) d x+N(x, y) d y=0$ as the first-order exact ODE And the solution techniques are given as, If $M(x, y) d x+N(x, y) d y=0$ is exact, then according to the definition, we have,

$$
d \theta=\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} d x+\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y} d y=M(x, y) d x+N(x, y) d y=0
$$

, Then $O D E$ is solved as $\theta(x, y)=c \Rightarrow d \theta=\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} d x+\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y} d y=0$, so $\theta(x, y)=c$ is the general solution, and $\theta$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} & =M(x, y) \Rightarrow \theta(x, y)=\int^{x} M(x, y) d x+g(y) \\
\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y} & =N(x, y) \Rightarrow \theta(x, y)=\int^{y} N(x, y) d y+h(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

and we could compare the above two equations, and get $g(y)$ and $h(x)$, and then get $\theta(x, y)$

## Exercise 1.15.

$$
(\sin y-y \sin x) d x+(\cos x+x \cos y-y) d y=0
$$

let $M=\sin y-y \sin x, N=\cos x+x \cos y-y$

$$
\Rightarrow \frac{\partial M}{\partial y}=\cos y-\sin x, \frac{\partial N}{\partial x}=-\sin x+\cos y
$$

And this ODE is exact, and solve $\theta(x, y)=C^{*}$, and $\theta(x, y)$ satisfies that

$$
\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}=M=\sin y-y \sin x
$$

and

$$
\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y}=N=\cos x-x \cos y-y
$$

Take integral on both sides, and we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\theta(x, y)=x \sin y+y \cos x+g(y) \\
\theta(x, y)=y \cos x+x \sin y-\frac{y^{2}}{2}+h(x)
\end{gathered}
$$

and compare the above two equations, and find out that

$$
\theta(x, y)=x \sin y+y \cos x-\frac{y^{2}}{2}+C_{1}
$$

and put the above expression into $\theta(x, y)=C^{*}$, and the ODE's general solution is as,

$$
x \sin y+y \cos x-\frac{y^{2}}{x}=C
$$

where $C \equiv\left(C^{*}-C_{1}\right)$

### 1.2.2 Modified exact equation

Definition 1.16. If on non-exact $O D E$ is characterized as $M(x, y) d x+N(x, y) d y=$ 0 , and if there exists one function $I(x, y)$ could transform the original ODE back into the exact form, which is,

$$
I(x, y) M(x, y) d x+I(x, y) N(x, y) d y=d \theta(x, y)=0
$$

then $I(x, y)$ is called the Integrating factor.
Remark 1.17. (How to tell an ODE is exact or not) From defintion we know that $I(x, y) M(x, y) d x+I(x, y) N(x, y) d y=d \theta(x, y)=0$ is exact, and it satisfies,

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial y}[I(x, y) M(x, y)]=\frac{\partial}{\partial x}[I(x, y) N(x, y)]
$$

and the above equation could be expanded as,

$$
N(x, y) \frac{\partial I}{\partial x}-M(x, y) \frac{\partial I}{\partial y}=I\left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial y}-\frac{\partial N}{\partial x}\right)
$$

If $I=I(x, y)$, then there is no solution, but if $I=I(x)$ or $I=I(y)$ only, then the previous equation could be simplified as,

- If $I=I(x)$, then the above equation is as $N \frac{d I}{d x}=I\left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial y}-\frac{\partial N}{\partial x}\right)$
- If $I=I(y)$, then the above equation is as $-M \frac{d I}{d y}=I\left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial y}-\frac{\partial N}{\partial x}\right)$

And we have the characteristic function for ODE, which is as,

$$
\frac{N}{d x}=\frac{-M}{d y}=\frac{I\left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial y}-\frac{\partial N}{\partial x}\right)}{d I}
$$

Separate the characteristic function of ODE, we have I

- If $I=I(x)$, then by $N \frac{d I}{d x}=I\left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial y}-\frac{\partial N}{\partial x}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d I}{I} & =\left(\frac{\frac{\partial M}{\partial y}-\frac{\partial N}{\partial x}}{N}\right) d x=f(x) d x \\
& \Rightarrow I(x)=\exp \left(\int f(x) d x\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- If $I=I(y)$, then by $-M \frac{d I}{d y}=I\left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial y}-\frac{\partial N}{\partial x}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d I}{I} & =\left(\frac{\frac{\partial M}{\partial y}-\frac{\partial N}{\partial x}}{-M}\right) d y=f(y) d x \\
& \Rightarrow I(y)=\exp \left(\int f(y) d y\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 1.18. - If $O D E M(x, y) d x+N(x, y) d y=0$ is not exact, And if $\left(\frac{\frac{\partial M}{\partial y}-\frac{\partial N}{\partial x}}{N}\right)=f(x)$ only, then we have

$$
I(x)=\exp \left(\int f(x) d x\right)
$$

- If $O D E M(x, y) d x+N(x, y) d y=0$ is not exact, And if $\left(\frac{\frac{\partial M}{\partial y}-\frac{\partial N}{\partial x}}{-M}\right)=f(y)$ only, then we have

$$
I(y)=\exp \left(\int f(y) d y\right)
$$

- Put I back into original ODE, and we have

$$
\Rightarrow I(x, y) M(x, y) d x+I(x, y) N(x, y) d y=d \theta(x, y)
$$

is exact, and let the solution to original $O D E$ is $\theta(x, y)=C$, and

$$
\theta(x, y)
$$

satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} & =I(x, y) M(x, y), \theta(x, y)=\int^{x} I(x, y) M(x, y) d x+g(y) \\
\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y} & =I(x, y) N(x, y), \theta(x, y)=\int^{y} I(x, y) N(x, y) d x+g(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

And compare the above two equations, and we could derive $\theta(x, y)$

Example 1.19. Suppose the $O D E$ is as $6 x y d x+\left(4 y+9 x^{2}\right) d y=0$ and Let $M=6 x y$, and $N=4 y+9 x^{2} \Rightarrow \frac{\partial M}{\partial y}=6 x$, and $\frac{\partial N}{\partial x}=18 x$. This ODE is not exact. And check whether there exists integrating factor

$$
I\left(\frac{\frac{\partial M}{\partial y}-\frac{\partial N}{\partial x}}{-M}\right)=\frac{-12 x}{-6 x y}=\frac{2}{y}=f(y)
$$

only Actually it exists as

$$
I(y)=\exp \left(\int f(y) d y\right)=y^{2}
$$

Plug the integrating factor back into the original $O D E$, and we have

$$
6 x y^{3} d x+\left(4 y^{3}+9 x^{2} y^{2}\right) d y=0
$$

which is an exact ODE.
Then solve $\theta(x, y)=C^{*}$, and $\theta(x, y)$ satisfies,

$$
\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}=6 x y^{3} \& \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y}=N=4 y^{3}+9 x^{2} y^{2}
$$

Take integral with respect to $x$ and $y$ for the above two equations, and we have

$$
\theta(x, y)=3 x^{2} y^{3}+g(y) \& \theta(x, y)=y^{4}+3 x^{2} y^{3}+h(x)
$$

and compare the above two equations, and we have

$$
\theta(x, y)=3 x^{2} y^{3}+y^{4}+C_{1}
$$

And put it back into $\theta(x, y)=C^{*}$, and we have the general solution as, $3 x^{2} y^{3}+$ $y^{4}=C$, where $C=\left(C^{*}-C_{1}\right)$

### 1.2.3 Linear Differential Equation

Definition 1.20. $A 1^{\text {st }}$-order linear $O D E$ as, $y^{\prime}(x)+P(x) y(x)=Q(x)$

- When $Q(x)=0$, it is called homogeneous $O D E$
- When $Q(x) \neq 0$, it is called nonhomogeneous $O D E$

Claim 1.21. A $1^{\text {st }}$-order linear $O D E$ is like $y^{\prime}(x)+P(x) y(x)=Q(x)$

$$
\Rightarrow d y+[P(x) y-Q(x)] d x=0
$$

Let $M(x, y)=P(x) y-Q(x)$, and $N(x, y)=1$
Then $\frac{\partial M}{\partial y}=P(x), \frac{\partial N}{\partial x}=0, \Rightarrow$ non-exact.
Then check if an integrating factor exists, and we observe that,

$$
\frac{\frac{\partial M}{\partial y}-\frac{\partial N}{\partial x}}{N}=P(x)
$$

$x$ only $\Rightarrow$ The equation has the integrating factor $I(x)=\exp \left(\int P(x) d x\right)$ And the original ODE could be transformed as $I(x) y^{\prime}(x)+I(x) P(x) y(x)=I(x) Q(x)$, which is exact $\Rightarrow(I y)^{\prime}=I Q$, and take integral to get $I y=\int I Q d x+C$, which is the general solution

### 1.2.4 Bernoulli's Equation

Definition 1.22. $y^{\prime}+P(x) y=Q(x) y^{n}$, which is $1^{\text {st }}$-order non-linear $O D E$
Remark 1.23. This kind of equation is very common in engineering and physics.
Claim 1.24. (Solution Procedure)

$$
\begin{gathered}
y^{\prime}+P(x) y=Q(x) y^{n} \\
\Rightarrow y^{-n} y^{\prime}+P(x) y^{1-n}=Q(x)
\end{gathered}
$$

Using the approach transformation of variable, let $u=y^{1-n}, \frac{d u}{d x}=(1-n) y^{-n} y^{\prime}$ And plug it back into ODE, and we have

$$
\frac{d u}{d x}+(1-n) P(x) u=(1-n) Q(x)
$$

And let the integrating factor be defined as $I(x)=\exp \left(\int(1-n) P(x) d x\right)$

$$
\Rightarrow I u=\int I(1-n) Q(x) d x+C
$$

Example 1.25. Suppose $x y^{\prime}+2 y=x y^{3}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Rightarrow y^{\prime}+\frac{2}{x} y=y^{3} \\
y^{-3} y^{\prime}+\frac{2}{x} y^{-2}=1
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $u=y^{-2}, u^{\prime}=-2 y^{-3} y^{\prime}$ plug the above expressions back into the original $O D E$, and we have

$$
-\frac{u^{\prime}}{2}+\frac{2}{x} u=1
$$

, and we have $u^{\prime}-\frac{4}{x} u=-2$

$$
\Rightarrow P(x)=\frac{-4}{x}, Q(x)=-2
$$

And the integrating factor

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I(x)=\exp \int\left(-\frac{4}{x}\right) d x=\frac{1}{x^{4}} \\
& I u=\int \frac{1}{x^{4}}(-2) d x=\frac{2}{3 x^{2}}+C \\
& \Rightarrow u=\frac{2}{3} x+C x^{4}=y^{-2}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is the general solution

## 2 Stochastic Differential Equation

Consider a stochastic process $\left(X_{t}\right)$ satisfying

$$
d X_{t}=b\left(t, X_{t}, W_{t}\right) d t+\sigma\left(t, X_{t}, W_{t}\right) d W_{t}
$$

Question

- Can we obtain the existence and uniqueness theorem for $d X_{t}=b\left(t, X_{t}, W_{t}\right) d t+$ $\sigma\left(t, X_{t}, W_{t}\right) d W_{t}$ ? What are the properties of the solution?
- How to solve it?


### 2.1 Examples and some solution methods

## Example 2.1.

$$
d X_{t}=\alpha X_{t} d W_{t}+\sigma X_{t} d t
$$

where the initial condition $X_{0}$ is given and $\alpha, \sigma$ are constant. Rewrite this equation as

$$
\frac{d X_{t}}{X_{t}}=\alpha d W_{t}+\sigma d t
$$

and we could get

$$
\int_{0}^{t} \frac{d X_{t}}{X_{t}}=\int_{0}^{t} \alpha d W_{t}+\int_{0}^{t} \sigma d u=\alpha W_{t}+\sigma t
$$

Due the Ito Lemma, we have

$$
d f\left(t, X_{t}\right)=\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f\left(t, X_{t}\right) d t+\frac{\partial}{\partial x} f\left(t, X_{t}\right) d X_{t}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}} f\left(t, X_{t}\right)\left(d X_{t}\right)^{2}
$$

and we might have $f\left(t, X_{t}\right)=f(x)=\ln x$, then

$$
f^{\prime}(x)=\frac{1}{x}, f^{\prime \prime}(x)=-\frac{1}{x^{2}}
$$

Then

$$
d \ln \left(X_{t}\right)=\frac{1}{X_{t}} d X_{t}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{X_{t}^{2}}\left(d X_{t}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{X_{t}} d X_{t}-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{2} d t
$$

i.e.,

$$
\ln \left(X_{t}\right)-\ln \left(X_{0}\right)-\int_{0}^{t} \frac{d X_{u}}{X_{u}}-\frac{\alpha^{2} t}{2}
$$

And combine $\int_{0}^{t} \frac{d X_{t}}{X_{t}}=\int_{0}^{t} \alpha d W_{t}+\int_{0}^{t} \sigma d u=\alpha W_{t}+\sigma t$, and $\ln \left(X_{t}\right)-\ln \left(X_{0}\right)-$ $\int_{0}^{t} \frac{d X_{u}}{X_{u}}-\frac{\alpha^{2} t}{2}$, we get

$$
\ln \left(\frac{X_{t}}{X_{0}}\right)+\frac{\alpha^{2} t}{2}=\int_{0}^{t} \frac{d X_{u}}{X_{u}}=\alpha W_{t}+\sigma t
$$

Thus, the solution to the stochastic differential equation is given as,

$$
X_{t}=X_{0} \exp \left(\alpha W_{t}+\left(\sigma-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{2}\right) t\right)
$$

Definition 2.2. A stochastic process $\left(X_{t}\right)$ of the form $X_{t}=X_{0} \exp \left(\alpha W_{t}+\mu t\right)$, is called the geometric Brownian motion.

Remark 2.3. - If $\left(W_{t}\right)$ is independent of $X_{0}$, then

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[X_{t}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[X_{0} \exp \left(\alpha W_{t}+\left(\sigma-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{2}\right) t\right)\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[X_{0}\right] e^{\sigma t}
$$

- The solution of $S D E$ has several properties as,
- If $\sigma>\frac{\alpha^{2}}{2}$, then $X_{t} \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty, \mathbb{P}-$ a.s.
- If $\sigma<\frac{\alpha^{2}}{2}$, then $X_{t} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty, \mathbb{P}-a . s$.
- If $\sigma=\frac{\alpha^{2}}{2}$, then $X_{t}$ will fluctuate between arbitrary large and arbitrary small values as $t \rightarrow \infty, \mathbb{P}-$ a.s.

Example 2.4. (Hull-White interest-rate model) Consider

$$
d R_{t}=\left(a_{t}-b_{t} R_{t}\right) d t+\sigma_{t} d W_{t}
$$

with $R_{0}=r$, where $a_{t}, b_{t}$, and $\sigma_{t}$ are deterministic function. Then

$$
d R_{t}+b_{t} R_{t} d t=a_{t} d t+\sigma_{t} d W_{t}
$$

which implies that

$$
d\left(R_{t} \exp \left(\int_{0}^{t} b_{u} d u\right)\right)=a_{t} \exp \left(\int_{0}^{t} b_{u} d u\right) d t+\sigma_{t} \exp \left(\int_{0}^{t} b_{u} d u\right) d W_{t}
$$

Thus, the solution to the original SDE is given by,

$$
R_{t}=\operatorname{rexp}\left(-\int_{0}^{t} b_{u} d u\right)+\int_{0}^{t} a_{s} \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{t} b_{u} d u\right) d s+\int_{0}^{t} \sigma_{s} \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{t} b_{u} d u\right) d W_{s}
$$

Example 2.5. Consider the stochastic differential equation

$$
d X_{t}=r X_{t}\left(K-X_{t}\right) d t+\beta X_{t} d W_{t}
$$

Rewrite the equation as

$$
\frac{d X_{t}}{X_{t}}+r X_{t} d t=r K d t+\beta d W_{t}
$$

Taking integration on both sides and we have,

$$
\int_{0}^{t} \frac{d X_{u}}{X_{u}}+r \int_{0}^{t} X_{u} d u=r K t+\beta W_{t}
$$

Using a similar argument as in the previous example and we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{t} \frac{d X_{u}}{X_{u}}=\ln X_{t}-\ln X_{0}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{X_{u}^{2}} d\langle X\rangle_{u} \\
= & \ln \left(\frac{X_{t}}{x}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{X_{u}^{2}} \beta^{2} X_{u}^{2} d u=\ln \left(\frac{X_{t}}{x}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \beta^{2} t
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\ln \left(\frac{X_{t}}{x}\right)+r \int_{0}^{t} X_{u} d u=\beta W_{t}+\left(r K-\frac{1}{2} \beta^{2}\right) t
$$

Which implies that

$$
X_{t} \exp \left(r \int_{0}^{t} X_{u} d u\right)=x \exp \left[\beta W_{t}+\left(r K-\frac{1}{2} \beta^{2}\right) t\right]
$$

Integration with respect to $t$ on both sides, we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
x \int_{0}^{t} \exp \left[\beta W_{s}+\left(r K-\frac{1}{2} \beta^{2}\right) s\right] d s=\int_{0}^{t} X_{s} \exp \left(r \int_{0}^{s} X_{u} d u\right) d s \\
=\int_{0}^{t} \exp \left(r \int_{0}^{s} X_{u} d u\right) d\left(\int_{0}^{s} X_{u} d u\right) \\
=\left.\frac{1}{r} \exp \left(\int_{0}^{s} X_{u} d u\right)\right|_{s=0} ^{t} \\
=\frac{1}{r}\left[\exp \left(r \int_{0}^{t} X_{u} d u\right)-1\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence,

$$
\exp \left(r \int_{0}^{t} X_{u} d u\right)=1+r x \int_{0}^{t} \exp \left[\beta W_{s}+\left(r K-\frac{1}{2} \beta^{2}\right) d\right] d s
$$

i.e.,

$$
\int_{0}^{t} X_{u} d u=\frac{1}{2} \ln \left(1+r x \int_{0}^{t} \exp \left[\beta W_{s}+\left(r K-\frac{1}{2} \beta^{2}\right) d\right] d s\right)
$$

Taking derivative with respect to $t$, we see that the solution to the SDE is as,

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{t} & =\frac{1}{r} \frac{r x \exp \left[\beta W_{t}+\left(r K-\frac{1}{2} \beta^{t} t\right)\right]}{1+r x \int_{0}^{t} \exp \left[\beta W_{s}+\left(r K-\frac{1}{2} \beta^{2}\right) d\right] d s} \\
& =\frac{\exp \left[\beta W_{t}+\left(r K-\frac{1}{2} \beta^{t} t\right)\right]}{x^{-1}+r \int_{0}^{t} \exp \left[\beta W_{s}+\left(r K-\frac{1}{2} \beta^{2}\right) d\right] d s}
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 2.6. Solving the stochastic differential equation

$$
d X_{t}=a_{t} d t+b_{t} X_{t} d W_{t}
$$

Rewrite it as,

$$
d X_{t}-b_{t} X_{t} d W_{t}=a_{t} d t
$$

And we are supposed to find the appropriate integrating factor to reduce the equation, such that,

$$
\rho_{t} d X_{t}-b_{t} \rho_{t} X_{t} d W_{t}=a_{t} \rho_{t} d t
$$

By integration by parts, we have

$$
d\left(\rho_{t} X_{t}\right)=-b_{t} \rho_{t} d X_{t}+X_{t} d \rho_{t}+d\langle\rho, X\rangle_{t}
$$

By the idea of integrating factor, we are to find $X_{t} d \rho_{t}=-b_{t} \rho_{t} d W_{t}$, thus we want to find $\rho$ such that

$$
\frac{d \rho_{t}}{\rho_{t}}=-b_{t} d W_{t}
$$

Then by Ito's lemma,

$$
-\int_{0}^{t} b_{u} d W_{u}=\int_{0}^{t} \frac{d \rho_{u}}{\rho_{u}}=\ln \left(\rho_{t}\right)-\ln \left(\rho_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{\rho_{u}^{2}}\left(d \rho_{u}\right)^{2}
$$

which is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\int_{0}^{t} b_{u} d W_{u}=\ln \left(\rho_{t}\right)-\ln \left(\rho_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} b_{u}^{2} d u \\
& \Leftrightarrow \rho_{t}=\rho_{0} \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{t} b_{u} d W_{u}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} b_{u}^{2} d u\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{gathered}
d\left(\rho_{t} X_{t}\right)=\rho_{t} d X_{t}+X_{t} d \rho_{t}+\left(d X_{t}\right)\left(d \rho_{t}\right) \\
=\rho_{t} d X_{t}-b_{t} \rho_{t} d W_{t}+\left(a_{t} d t+b_{t} X_{t} d W_{t}\right)\left(-b_{t} \rho_{t} X_{t} d W_{t}\right) \\
=\rho_{t} d X_{t}-b_{t} \rho_{t} X_{t} d W_{t}-b_{t}^{2} \rho_{t} X_{t} d t
\end{gathered}
$$

Plug the above result into $d X_{t}-b_{t} X_{t} d W_{t}=a_{t} d t$, we have

$$
d\left(\rho_{t} X_{t}\right)+b_{t}^{2} \rho_{t} X_{t} d t=a_{t} \rho_{t} d t
$$

Using the integrating factor again, and let $G_{t}=\exp \left(\int_{0}^{t} b_{u}^{2} d u\right)$, we get

$$
d\left(G_{t} \rho_{t}\right) X_{t}=G_{t} d\left(\rho_{t} X_{t}\right)+\rho_{t} X_{t} d G_{t}=a_{t} \rho_{t} G_{t} d t
$$

set

$$
F(t)=\rho_{t} G_{t}=\exp \left(-\int_{0}^{t} b_{u} d W_{u}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} b_{u}^{2} d u\right)
$$

Thus,

$$
d\left(F_{t} X_{t}\right)=a_{t} F_{t} d t
$$

i.e.,

$$
F_{t} X_{t}-F_{0} X_{0}=\int_{0}^{t} a_{u} F_{u} d u
$$

Hence its solution is given by,

$$
\begin{gathered}
X_{t}=F_{t}^{-1} X_{0}+F_{t}^{-1} \int_{0}^{t} a_{u} F_{u} d u \\
=X_{0} \exp \left(\int_{0}^{t} b_{u} d W_{u}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} b_{u}^{2} d u\right)+\int_{0}^{t} a_{u} \exp \left(\int_{0}^{t} b_{v} d W_{v}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} b_{v}^{2} d v\right) d u
\end{gathered}
$$

Remark 2.7. (How to find integrating factor) Suppose $X_{t} d \rho_{t}=-b_{t} \rho_{t} X_{t} d W_{t}$ Hence, we want to find $\rho$ such that,

$$
\frac{d \rho_{t}}{\rho_{t}}=-b_{t} d W_{t}
$$

By Ito lemma,

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\int_{0}^{t} b_{u} d W_{u}=\int_{0}^{t} \frac{d \rho_{u}}{\rho_{u}} \\
=\ln \left(\rho_{t}\right)-\ln \left(\rho_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{\rho_{u}^{2}}\left(d \rho_{u}\right)^{2} \\
=\ln \left(\rho_{t}\right)-\ln \left(\rho_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} b_{u}^{2} d u
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence, we have

$$
\rho_{t}=\rho_{0} \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{t} b_{u} d W_{u}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} b_{u}^{2} d u\right)
$$

And by letting $\rho_{0}=1$, we have

$$
\rho_{t}=\exp \left(-\int_{0}^{t} b_{u} d W_{u}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} b_{u}^{2} d u\right)
$$

Example 2.8. Solving the stochastic differential equation

$$
L Q_{t}^{\prime \prime}+R Q_{t}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{C} Q_{t}=G_{t}+\alpha \widehat{W}_{t}
$$

where $\widehat{W}_{t}$ is the white noise. Introduce the vector

$$
X_{t}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
X_{t}^{(1)} \\
X_{t}^{(2)}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Q_{t} \\
Q_{t}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Then

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\left(X_{t}^{(1)}\right)^{\prime}=X_{t}^{(2)} \\
L\left(X_{t}^{(2)}\right)^{\prime}+R X_{t}^{(2)}+\frac{1}{C} X_{t}^{(1)}=G_{t}+\alpha \widehat{W_{t}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

And we might rewrite the original $S D E$ in this form as,

$$
d X_{t}=A X_{t} d t+H_{t} d t+K d W_{t}
$$

Where $\left(W_{t}\right)$ is a 1-dimensional Brownian motion

$$
\begin{gathered}
X_{t}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
X_{t}^{(1)} \\
X_{t}^{(2)}
\end{array}\right\} \\
A=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-\frac{1}{C L} & -\frac{R}{L}
\end{array}\right\} \\
H_{t}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
\frac{G_{t}}{L}
\end{array}\right\} \\
K=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
\frac{\alpha}{L}
\end{array}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus

$$
d \exp \left[\exp (-A t) X_{t}\right]=\exp (-A t)\left(H_{t} d t+K d W_{t}\right)
$$

The solution is of the form

$$
X_{t}=\exp (A t) X_{0}+\exp \left(A_{t}\right) \int_{0}^{t} \exp (-A s)\left(H_{s} d s+K d W_{s}\right)
$$

### 2.2 An Existence and Uniqueness Result

Theorem 2.9. (Existence and uniqueness theorem for stochastic differential equation) Let $T>0$, and let $b, \sigma,\left(b:[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}, \sigma:[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}\right)$ be measurable functions satisfying

$$
|b(t, x)|+|\sigma(t, x)| \leq C(1+|x|)
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, t \in[0, T]$ for some constant C , where

$$
|\sigma|^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left|\sigma_{i j}\right|^{2}
$$

and

$$
|b(t, x)-b(t, y)|+|\sigma(t, x)-\sigma(t, y)| \leq D|x-y|
$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, t \in[0, T]$ for some constant D . Let Z be a random variable which is independent of $\mathcal{F}_{T}^{X}$, the $\sigma$-algebra generate by $\left(W_{s}: 0 \leq s \leq T\right)$, and $\mathbb{E}|Z|^{2}<\infty$. Then the differential equation

$$
d X_{t}=b\left(t, X_{t}\right) d t+\sigma\left(t, X_{t}\right) d W_{t}
$$

for $0 \leq t \leq T, X_{0}=Z$ has one unique t-continuous solution $X_{t}$ with the properties that

- $X_{t}$ is adapted to $\mathcal{F}_{t}^{W} \vee \sigma(Z)$
- $\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{T}\left|X_{t}\right|^{2} d t\right]<\infty$

Example 2.10. Consider the differential equation

$$
\frac{d X_{t}}{d t}=3 X_{t}^{\frac{2}{3}}
$$

with $X_{0}=0$, which has more than one solution. For any $a>0$, the function

$$
X_{t}=\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \text { for } & t \leq a \\
(t-a)^{3} & \text { for } & t>a
\end{array}\right.
$$

is the solution of the original SDE. In this case, $b(x)=3 x^{\frac{2}{3}}$ does not satisfy the condition $|b(t, x)-b(t, y)|+|\sigma(t, x)-\sigma(t, y)| \leq D|x-y|$ at $x=0$

### 2.3 Weak and Strong solutions

Definition 2.11. - A strong solution of the stochastic differential equation

$$
d X_{t}=b\left(t, X_{t}\right) d t+\sigma\left(t, X_{t}\right) d W_{t}
$$

on a given probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ and with respect to the fixed Brownian motion $W$ and initial value $Z$, is a stochastic process $X$ with continuous sample paths and with the following properties:

- $X$ is adapted to the filtration $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)$
$-\mathbb{P}\left[X_{0}=Z\right]=1$
$-\mathbb{P}\left[\int_{0}^{t}\left(\left|b_{i}\left(s, x_{s}\right)\right|+\sigma_{i j}^{2}\left(s, X_{s}\right)\right) d s\right]=1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq d, 1 \leq j \leq r$, and $0 \leq t<\infty$
- the integral version of the original $S D E$ is

$$
X_{t}=X_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} b\left(s, X_{s}\right) d s+\int_{0}^{t} \sigma\left(s, X_{s}\right) d W_{s}
$$

- A weak solution of $d X_{t}=b\left(t, X_{t}\right) d t+\sigma\left(t, X_{t}\right) d W_{t}$ is a triple $(X, W)$, $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}),\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)$, where
$-(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ is a probability space and $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)$ is a filtration of sub- $\sigma$-algebra of $\mathcal{F}$ satisfying the usual conditions
- $X=\left(X_{t}, \mathcal{F}_{t}\right)_{0 \leq t<\infty}$ is continuous, adapted $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ - valued process. $W=$ $\left(W_{t}, \mathcal{F}_{t}\right)_{0 \leq t<\infty}$ is a standard Brownian motion, and satisfies the following conditions as,
$* \mathbb{P}\left[\int_{0}^{t}\left(\left|b_{i}\left(s, x_{s}\right)\right|+\sigma_{i j}^{2}\left(s, X_{s}\right)\right) d s\right]=1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq d, 1 \leq j \leq r$, and $0 \leq t<\infty$
* the integral version of the original SDE is

$$
X_{t}=X_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} b\left(s, X_{s}\right) d s+\int_{0}^{t} \sigma\left(s, X_{s}\right) d W_{s}
$$

Remark 2.12. There are stochastic differential equations which has no strong solutions, but still has a weak solution

Remark 2.13. The major difference between strong and weak conditions are that, the probability space for strong condition is given in advance, but the one for weak condition is generated by the Brownian motion used in the stochastic differential equation.
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## 1 Introduction

Many problems in Probability give rise to difference equations. Difference equations relate to differential equations as discrete mathematics relates to continuous mathematics.

As with differential equations, one can refer to the order of a difference equation and note whether it is linear or non-linear and whether it is homogeneous or inhomogeneous. The present discussion will almost exclusively be confined to linear second order difference equations both homogeneous and inhomogeneous.

## 2 Notation Convention

A trivial example stems from considering the sequence of odd numbers starting from 1. The associated difference equation might be specified as:

$$
f(n)=f(n-1)+2, f(1)=1
$$

In words: term n in the sequence is two more than term $n-1$. The proviso, $f(1)=1$, constitute an initial condition. The first term in the sequence is 1 . A term like $f(n)$ so strongly suggests a continuous function that many writers
prefer to use a subscript notation. The present difference equation would be presented as:

$$
u_{n}=u_{n-1}+2, u_{1}=1
$$

This is the notation which will be used below. It is strongly implicit that n is an integer. In simple cases, a difference equation gives rise to an associated Auxiliary Equation. In the case of $u_{n}=u_{n-1}+2, u_{1}=1$, the associated auxiliary equation is as,

$$
w^{1}-1=0
$$

The highest power of the polynomial in w is 1 and, accordingly, $u_{n}=u_{n-1}+$ $2, u_{1}=1$ is said to be a first order difference equation. If the constant term 2 were absent from $u_{n}=u_{n-1}+2, u_{1}=1$, the equation would be homogeneous but its presence makes it inhomogeneous. Some standard techniques for solving elementary difference equations analytically will now be presented...

## 3 Second Order Homogeneous Linear Difference Equation-I

To solve,

$$
u_{n}=u_{n-1}+2, \text { with }, u_{1}=1, u_{0}=1
$$

transfer all the terms to the left-hand side:

$$
u_{n}-u_{n-1}-u_{n-2}=0
$$

The zero on the right-hand side signifies that this is a homogeneous difference equation. Guess:

$$
u_{n}=A w^{n}
$$

so

$$
A w^{n}-A w^{n-1}-A w^{n-2}=0
$$

and

$$
w^{2}-w-1=0
$$

This is the auxiliary equation associated with the difference equation. Being a quadratic, the auxiliary equation signifies that the difference equation is of second order. The two roots are readily determined:

$$
w_{1}=\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}, w_{2}=\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}
$$

For any $A_{1}$ substituting $A_{1} w_{1}^{n}$ in $u_{n}-u_{n-1}-u_{n-2}$ yields zero. For any $A_{2}$ substituting $A_{2} w_{2}^{n}$ for $u_{n}$ in $u_{n}-u_{n-1}-u_{n-2}$ yields zero. And this suggests a general solution as,

$$
u_{n}=A_{1} w_{1}^{n}+A_{2} w_{2}^{n}
$$

Check this by substituting into $u_{n}-u_{n-1}-u_{n-2}$ thus:

$$
\left(A_{1} w_{1}^{n}+A_{2} w_{2}^{n}\right)-\left(A_{1} w_{1}^{n-1}+A_{2} w_{2}^{n-1}\right)-\left(A_{1} w_{1}^{n-2}+A_{2} w_{2}^{n-2}\right)
$$

This, arranged, is

$$
A_{1} w_{1}^{n-2}\left(w_{1}^{2}-w_{1}-1\right)+A_{2} w_{2}^{n-2}\left(w_{2}^{2}-w_{2}-1\right)
$$

And this is zero because both expressions in brackets are zero. On substituting the values of $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ the general solution is,

$$
u_{n}=A_{1}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{n}+A_{2}\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{n}
$$

but, by noting initial conditions, values for $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ can be obtained. And note that,

$$
u_{0}=1 \Rightarrow A_{1}+A_{2}=1 \& A_{2}=1-A_{1}
$$

Likewise,

$$
u_{1}=1 \Rightarrow \frac{A_{1}(1+\sqrt{5})+\left(1-A_{1}\right)(1-\sqrt{5})}{2}=1
$$

so

$$
A_{1}=\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2 \sqrt{5}}
$$

And $A_{2}=1-A_{1}=1-\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2 \sqrt{5}}=\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2 \sqrt{5}}$ In consequence,

$$
u_{n}=\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2 \sqrt{5}}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{n}-\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2 \sqrt{5}}\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{n}
$$

Thus

$$
u_{n}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\left[\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{n+1}-\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{n+1}\right]
$$

as the final solution.

## 4 Second Order Homogeneous Linear Difference Equation - II

To solve

$$
u_{n}=p \cdot u_{n+1}+q \cdot u_{n-1}, \text { with }, u_{0}=1, u_{l}=1, \text { and }, p+q=1
$$

Transfer all the terms to the left-hand side:

$$
p \cdot u_{n+1}-u_{n}+q \cdot u_{n-1}=0
$$

Guess:

$$
u_{n}=A \cdot w^{n}
$$

so

$$
\begin{gathered}
p A w^{n+1}-A w^{n}+q A w^{n-1}=0 \\
\Rightarrow p w^{2}-2+q=0 \\
\Rightarrow p w^{2}-(p+q) w+q=0 \\
\Rightarrow(w-1)(p w-q)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Then two roots are

$$
w_{1}=1, a n d, w_{2}=\frac{q}{p}
$$

This suggests a general solution:

$$
u_{n}=A_{1}(1)^{n}+A_{2}\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{n}, \text { provided, } p \neq q
$$

Check by substituting into $q \cdot u_{n+1}-u_{n}+q \cdot u_{n-1}$, thus

$$
\left[p A_{1}(1)^{n+1}+p A_{2}\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{n+1}\right]-\left[A_{1}(1)^{n}+A_{2}\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{n}\right]+\left[q A_{1}(1)^{n-1}+q A_{2}\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{n-1}\right]
$$

This, rearranged, is:

$$
A_{1}[p-1+q]+A_{2}\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{n-1}\left[p\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{2}-\frac{q}{p}=q\right]
$$

which, given that $p+q=1$, is
$A_{2}\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{n-1}\left[\frac{q^{2}}{p}-\frac{q}{p}+q\right]=A_{2}\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{n-1}\left[\frac{q}{p}(q-1)+q\right]=A_{2}\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{n-1}\left[\frac{q}{p}(-p)+q\right]=0$
The next step is to determine values for $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ for general solution. And the general solution was determined to be,

$$
u_{n}=A_{1}(1)^{n}+A_{2}\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{n}, \text { with }, p \neq q
$$

Note

$$
u_{0}=0 \Rightarrow A_{1}+A_{2}=0
$$

Likewise

$$
u_{l}=1 \Rightarrow A_{1}+A_{2}\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{l}=1
$$

so

$$
-A_{2}+A_{2}\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{l}=1 \Rightarrow A_{2}=\frac{1}{\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{l}-1}
$$

and

$$
A_{1}=-A_{2}=\frac{-1}{\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{l}-1}
$$

In consequence:

$$
u_{n}=\frac{-1}{\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)-1}+\frac{\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{n}}{\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{l}-1}
$$

giving

$$
u_{n}=\frac{\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{n}-1}{\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{l}-1}
$$

as the final solution.
Remark 4.1. First, $u_{0}=0$, and $u_{1}=1$ as required. Second, suppose $0 \ll n \ll l$ (e.g.: l=57, and $n=41$ )...

- If $\frac{q}{p}<1,\left[\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{i} \rightarrow 0\right.$ forlargei $]$, the solution $u_{n} \rightarrow \frac{0-1}{0-1} \rightarrow 1$
- $\frac{q}{p}>1$, the solution $u_{n} \rightarrow \frac{\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{n}\left[1-\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{n}\right]}{\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{[ }\left[1-\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{l}\right]} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{l-n}}\left[\frac{1-0}{1-0}\right] \rightarrow 0$

In simple terms, provided $n$ is well clear of the extremes 0 and $l$, $u_{n}$ will tend to 1 or to 0 depending on whether $q<p$ or $q>p$. (It has been assumed that $p \neq q$ )

Remark 4.2. (what about the case $p=q$ as for an even coin) Recall that $w_{1}=1$, and $w_{2}=\frac{q}{p}$ so the case $p=q$ implies twin roots, $w_{1}=w_{2}=1$. The general solution $u_{n}=A_{1} w_{1}^{n}+A_{2} w_{2}^{n}$ would be $u_{n}=A_{1}+A_{2}$ which is silly. In such case, try a different guess:

$$
u_{n}=\left(A_{1}+A_{2} n\right) w^{n}
$$

where w is the twin root. In the present case, try

$$
u_{n}=\left(A_{1}+A_{2} n\right)(1)^{n}
$$

as the general solution. Check by substituting into $p \cdot u_{n+1}-u_{n}-q \cdot u_{n-1}$, thus:

$$
p\left[A_{1}+A_{2}(n+1)\right]-\left[A_{1}+A_{2} n\right]+q\left[A_{1}+A_{2}(n-1)\right]
$$

This, arranged, is:

$$
A_{1}[p-1+q]+A_{2}[p n+p-n+q n-q]
$$

which, remembering that $p+q=1$, is zero
The next step is to determine values for $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ in the general solution whose revised form is

$$
u_{n}=\left(A_{1}+A_{2} n\right)(1)^{n}
$$

Note

$$
u_{0}=0 \Rightarrow A_{1}=0
$$

Likewise

$$
u_{l}=1 \Rightarrow 0+A_{2} l=1, i f, A_{2}=\frac{1}{l}
$$

In consequence

$$
u_{n}=0+\frac{1}{l} n
$$

giving

$$
u_{n}=\frac{n}{l}
$$

as the final solution when the special case $p=q$ applies.

## 5 Second Order Inhomogeneous Linear Difference Equation

To solve

$$
v_{n}=1+p v_{n+1}+q v_{n-1}
$$

given that $v_{0}=v_{l}=0$, and $p+q=1$, and transfer all the terms except the 1 to the left-hand side:

$$
p v_{n+1}-v_{n}+q v_{n-1}=-1
$$

If the right-hand side were zero, this would be identical to the homogeneous equation just discussed. The new equation is solved in two steps. First, deem the right-hand side to be zero and solve as for the homogeneous case:

$$
v_{n}=A_{1}(1)^{n}+A_{2}\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{n}
$$

provided that $q \neq p$. Then, augment this solution by some $f(n)$ which has to be given further thought:

$$
v_{n}=A_{1}(1)^{n}+A_{2}\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{n}+f(n)
$$

This augmented $v_{n}$ has to be such that when substituted into $p v_{n+1}-v_{n}+q v_{n-1}$ the result is -1 .

From previous experience with $u_{n}$, it is known that substituting $A_{1}(1)^{n}+$ $A_{2}\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{n}$ gives a result of zero. In consequence, the property required of $f(n)$ is that on substituting it into $p v_{n+1}-v_{n}+q v_{n-1}$ the result must be -1

It will always be reasonable to express $f(n)$ as the quadratic $a+b n+c n^{2}$ with only one of the constants $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ and c non-zero. In the present case tray $f(n)=k n$ and therefore require:

$$
p k(n+1)-k n+q k(n-1)=-1
$$

so

$$
p k n+p k-k n=q k n-q k=-1
$$

Hence $(p-q) k=-1$ so $k=\frac{1}{q-p}$, giving:

$$
v_{n}=A_{1}+A_{2}\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{n}+\frac{n}{q-p}
$$

as the general solution appropriate to the inhomogeneous difference equation. It is left as an exercise for the reader to determine values for $A(1)$ and $A(2)$ appropriate for the initial conditions given.

Remark 5.1. (What about the case $p=q$ ?) When $p=q$ the equation $p v_{n+1}-v_{n}+q v_{n-1}=-1$ can be solved in two steps as before. First, deem the right-hand side to be zero and solve as for the homogeneous case:

$$
v_{n}=\left(A_{1}+A_{2} n\right)(1)^{n}
$$

Then, augment this solution by some $f(n)$ which has to be given further thought:

$$
v_{n}=\left(A_{1}+A_{2} n\right)(1)^{n}+f(n)
$$

As before, this augmented $v_{n}$ has to be such that when substituted into $p v_{n+1}-$ $v_{n}+q v_{n-1}$ the results is -1 but remember that $p=q$ this time. Again, from previous experience with un, it is known that substituting $\left(A_{1}+A_{2} n\right)(1)^{n}$ gives a result of zero. Once more, the property required of $f(n)$ is that on substituting it into $p v_{n+1}-v_{n}+q v_{n-1}$ the result must be -1 .

Since $p=q$, it is no use this time employing the previous approach which was to try $f(n)=k n$ and derive $k=\frac{1}{q-p}$. This is not a helpful value for k !

The appropriate approach now is to try $f(n)=k n^{2}$ and require

$$
p k(n+1)^{2}-k n^{2}+q k(n-1)^{2}=-1
$$

so

$$
p k n^{2}+2 p k n+p k-k n^{2}+q k n^{2}-2 q k n+q k=-1
$$

Hence $(p+q) k=-1$ so $k=-1$, giving

$$
v_{n}=A_{1}+A_{2} n-n^{2}
$$

as the general solution appropriate to the inhomogeneous difference equation when $p=q$. Note that $A_{1}+A_{2} n$ is the solution to the homogeneous equation when $p=q$ and $-n^{2}$ is the required augmentation. Given the initial conditions $v_{0}=v_{l}=0$, it is easy to determine that $A_{1}=0$, and $A_{2}=l$ giving:

$$
v_{n}=n(l-n)
$$

as the final solution when the special case $p=q$ applies.

## 6 Lag Operator

Definition 6.1. (Lag Operator) Denote L, and $L \cdot y_{t}=y_{t-1}$
Remark 6.2. - The lag operator could be raised to powers, e.g. $L^{2} y_{t}=$ $y_{t-2}$. We could also form polynomials of it

$$
\begin{gathered}
a(L)=a_{0}+a_{1} L+a_{2} L^{2}+\ldots+a_{p} L^{p} \\
a(L) y_{t}=a_{0} y_{t}+a_{1} y_{t-1}+a_{2} y_{t-2}+\ldots+a_{p} y_{t-p}
\end{gathered}
$$

- Lag polynomials could be multiplied. Multiplication is commutative, $a(L) b(L)=$ $b(L) a(L)$
- Some lag polynomials could be inverted. We define $(1-\rho L)^{-1}$ by the following equality

$$
(1 \rho L)(1-\rho L)^{-1} \equiv 1
$$

Theorem 6.3. If $|\rho|<1$, then

$$
(1-\rho L)^{-1}=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \rho^{i} L^{i}
$$

Proof:

$$
(1-\rho L) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \rho^{i} L^{i}=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \rho^{i} L^{i}-\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \rho^{i} L^{i}=\rho^{0} L^{0}=1
$$

For higher order polynomials, we can invert them by factoring, using the formula for $(1-\rho L)^{-1}$ (assuming that the roots are outside the unit circle), and then rearranging, for example:

$$
\begin{gathered}
1-a_{1} L-a_{2} L^{2}=\left(1-\lambda_{1} L\right)\left(1-\lambda_{2} L\right), \text { with },\left|\lambda_{i}\right|<1 \\
\left(1-a_{1} L-a_{2} L^{2}\right)^{-1}=\left(1-\lambda_{1} L\right)^{-1}\left(1-\lambda_{2} L\right)^{-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\Rightarrow\left(1-a_{1} L-a_{2} L^{2}\right)^{-1}=\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{1}^{i} L^{i}\right)\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{2}^{i} L^{i}\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} L^{j}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{j} \lambda_{1}^{k} \lambda_{2}^{j-k}\right)
$$

Another (perhaps more easy) way to approach the same problem is do a partial fraction decomposition

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{\left(1-\lambda_{1} x\right)\left(1-\lambda_{2} x\right)}=\frac{a}{1-\lambda_{1} x}+\frac{b}{1-\lambda_{2} x} \\
\Rightarrow a=\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}}, b=\frac{\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}} \\
a^{-1}(L)=a \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{1}^{i} L^{i}+b \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{2}^{i} L^{i}
\end{gathered}
$$

This trick only works when the $\lambda_{i}$ are unique. The formula is slightly different otherwise.

## 7 Application I: Simple Process in Time Series

### 7.1 Autoregressive (AR)

$$
\begin{gathered}
A R(1): y_{t}=\rho y_{t-1}+e_{t},|\rho|<1 \\
(1-\rho L) y_{t}=e_{t} \\
A R(p): a(L) y_{t}=e_{t}
\end{gathered}
$$

Where $a(L)$ is of order p

### 7.2 Moving Average (MA)

$$
\begin{gathered}
M A(1): y_{t}=e_{t}+\theta e_{t-1} \\
y_{t}=(1+\theta L) e_{t} \\
M A(q): y_{t}=b(L) e_{t}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $b(L)$ is of order q

### 7.3 ARMA

$$
A R M A(p, q): a(L) y_{t}=b(L) e_{t}
$$

where $a(L)$ is order p and $b(L)$ is order q , and $a(L)$ and $b(L)$ are relatively prime
An ARMA representation is not unique, For example, an $A R(1)$ (with $|\rho|<$ $1)$ is equal to an $M A(\infty)$, as we saw above. We can see it from the formula for inversion:

$$
y_{t}=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho^{j} e_{t-j}
$$

Aside, you can also get this formula by repeatedly using definition of $A R(1)$, as

$$
y_{t}=\rho y_{t}+e_{t}=\rho\left(\rho y_{t-2}+e_{t-1}\right)+e_{t}=\ldots=\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \rho^{j} e_{t-j}+\rho^{k} y_{t-k}
$$

and noticing that $\rho^{k} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}^{2}} 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. In fact, this is more generally true. Any $A R(p)$ with roots outside the unit circle has an MA representation. These processes are called stationary (Because there is weakly stationary version of them).

Any MA process with roots outside unit circle could also be written as $A R(\infty)$, such process called invertible. If $y_{t}=b(L) e_{t}$ is an invertible MA process, then $e_{t}=b(L)^{-1} y_{t}$. That is, the "errors" are laying in a space of observations and could be recovered from y's (another name for this: errors are fundamental).

## 8 Application II: From Stochastic Differential Equation to Autoregressive Time Series Model

Remind ourselves of ordinary differential equation that has been studied,

$$
\frac{d Y(t)}{d t}=-\kappa Y+b(t)=p(t) Y+b(t), \text { with, } Y(0)=0
$$

where $p(t)=-\kappa$ but it could be more general. Remind ourselves of the Integrating Factor, which is $v(t)$ defined as,

$$
v(t)=\exp \left(-\int_{0}^{t} p(r) d r\right)=\exp (\kappa t)
$$

By definition, $d v(t) / d t=-v(t) p(t)=\kappa v(t)$. Consider

$$
\frac{d(v(t) Y(t))}{d t}=v(t) \frac{d Y(t)}{d t}+Y(t) \frac{d v(t)}{d t}+Y(t) \frac{d v(t)}{d t}=v(t) b(t)
$$

The solution is

$$
\begin{gathered}
v(t) Y(t)-v(0) Y(0)=\int_{0}^{t} v(r) b(r) d r=\int_{0}^{t} \exp (\kappa r) b(r) d r \\
Y(t)=Y_{0} \exp (-\kappa t)+\int_{0}^{t} \exp (-\kappa(t-r)) b(r) d r
\end{gathered}
$$

with $Y(0)=0$, then

$$
Y(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \exp (-\kappa(t-r)) b(r) d r
$$

Thus the following stochastic differential equation could be solved using the similar manner, which is

$$
d Y(t)=-\kappa Y d t+\sigma d B(t), Y(0)=0
$$

And the general solution could be derived as,

$$
Y(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \sigma \exp (-\kappa(t-r)) d B(r)
$$

and we could discretize the process as,

$$
Y(t+h)=e^{-\kappa h} Y(t)+\int_{0}^{h} \sigma \exp (-\kappa(h-s)) d B(t+s)
$$

This is an $A R(1)$ model. Since

$$
\int_{0}^{h} \sigma e^{-\kappa(h-s)} d B(t+s) N\left(0, \int_{0}^{h} \sigma^{2} e^{-2 \kappa(h-s)} d s\right)=N\left(0, \frac{\sigma^{2}(1-\exp (-2 \kappa h))}{2 \kappa}\right)
$$

Then the transition density is

$$
Y(t+h) \left\lvert\, Y(t) N\left(e^{-\kappa h} Y(t), \frac{\sigma^{2}(1-\exp (-2 \kappa h))}{2 \kappa}\right)\right.
$$

Besides deriving the transition probability from the AR process, we could also discretize the stochastic differential equation into autoregressive regression model to finish the estimation, and the procedure is conducted as,

$$
\begin{gathered}
d Y(t)=-\kappa Y(t) d t+\sigma d B(t) \\
\Rightarrow Y(t+1)-Y(t)=-\kappa Y(t)[(t+1)-t]+\sigma N(0,1) \\
\Rightarrow Y(t+1)=(1-\kappa) Y(t)+\sigma N(0,1) \\
\Rightarrow Y(t+1)=\rho Y(t)+\epsilon(t)
\end{gathered}
$$

Where $\rho=1-\kappa$, and $\epsilon(t)=\sigma N(0,1)$ And using the techniques of OLS, we could derive $\widehat{\rho}$, and if the interval is going to zero, then $\widehat{\rho} \xrightarrow{p} 1-\kappa$ according to law of large number.
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\section*{1 Mathematical Preliminary I: Laplace Transform}


- Transforms: An operation that transform a function into another function
- Differentiation transform

$$
\frac{d}{d x}\left(x^{2}\right)=2 x
$$

- Integration transform:

$$
\int x^{2} d x=\frac{1}{3} x^{3}+c
$$

- Now consider a defined integral $\int_{0}^{\infty} k(s, t) f(t) d t$ that transforms $f(t)$ into a function of variables
- The integral is said to be convergent if the limit exists:

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} k(s, t) f(t) d t=\lim _{b \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{b} k(s, t) f(t) d t
$$

- The integral is said to be divergent if the limit does not exist
- Laplace transform: one kind of integration transform
- Definition: $L[f(t)]=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-s t} f(t) d t$, where $f(t)$ is a function defined for $t \geq 0$, and $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{t}$ are two independent variables.
- When the above integral converges, the result is a function of s:

$$
L[f(t)]=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-s t} f(t) d t
$$

Remark 1.1. $L[1] \equiv \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-s t} d t=\lim _{b \rightarrow \infty} e^{-s t} d t=\left.\lim _{b \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-e^{-s t}}{s}\right|_{0} ^{b}=\lim _{b \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-b^{-s b}}{+} 1 s=$
$\frac{1}{2}$ for $s>0$; similarly we could derive more results, as
$L[1]=\frac{1}{s}$, for, $s>0$
$L\left[t^{n}\right]=\frac{n!}{s^{n+1}}, \forall n>-1, s>0$
$L\left[e^{a t}\right]=\frac{1}{s-1},(s-a)>0$
$L[\sin (k t)]=\frac{k}{s^{2}+k^{2}}, s>0$
$L[\cos (k t)]=\frac{s}{s^{2}+k^{2}}$, for,$s>0$
Remark 1.2. - Linear property $L[\alpha f(t)+\beta g(t)]=\alpha L[f(t)]+\beta L[g(t)]=$ $\alpha F(s)+\beta G(s)$

- Existence of $L[f(t)]$,
- Sufficient condition 1: for the existence of $L[f(t)]$, the graph of $f(t)$ cannot grow faster than the graph of est as $t$ increase.
- Sufficient condition 2: $f(t)$ can be a stepwise continuous function on the interval $[0, \infty)$

Definition 1.3. If $F(s)$ represents the L-transform of $f(t)$, i.e. $L[f(t)]=F(s)$, we then say $f(t)$ is the inverse L-transform of $F(s)$, and could be expressed as follows:

$$
L^{-1}[F(s)]=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{r-i \infty}^{r+i \infty} e^{s t} F(s) d s=f(t), \forall t \geq 0, r \in R
$$

Remark 1.4. $L^{-1}\left[\frac{1}{2}\right]=1$
$L^{-1}\left[\frac{n!}{s^{n+1}}\right]=t^{n}$
$L^{-1}\left[\frac{1}{s-1}\right]=e^{a t}$
$L^{-1}\left[\frac{k}{s^{2}+k^{2}}\right]=\sin (k t)$
$L^{-1}\left[\frac{s}{s^{2}+k^{2}}\right]=\cos (k t)$
$L^{-1}\left[\frac{k}{s^{2}-k^{2}}\right]=\sinh (k t)$
$L^{-1}\left[\frac{s}{s^{2}-k^{2}}\right]=\cosh (k t)$
And the linearity also holds here.

## 2 Mathematical Preliminary II: Fourier Transformation

Definition 2.1. The Fourier expansion of $f$ defined on the interval $(-P, P)$ is given by

$$
f(x)=\frac{a_{0}}{2}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[a_{n} \cos \frac{n \pi}{p} x+b_{n} \sin \frac{n \pi}{p} x\right]
$$

where $a_{0}=\frac{1}{p} \int_{-p}^{p} f(x) d x$
$a_{n}=\frac{1}{p} \int_{-p}^{p} f(x) \cos \left(\frac{n \pi}{p} x\right) d x$
$b_{n}=\frac{1}{p} \int_{-p}^{p} \sin \left(\frac{n \pi}{p} x\right) d x \rightarrow$ Fourier coefficient of $f$.

Example 2.2. Expand $f(x)=0,-\pi<x<0$ and $f(x)=2-x, 0 \leq x<\pi$ in a Fourier series
Hence $(-p, p)=(-\pi, \pi)$
$a_{0}=\frac{1}{p} \int_{-p}^{p} f(x) d x=\frac{\pi}{2}$
$a_{n}=\frac{1}{p} \int_{-p}^{p} f(x) \cos \left(\frac{n \pi}{p} x\right) d x=\frac{1-(-1)^{n}}{n^{2} \pi}$
$b_{n}=\frac{1}{p} \int_{-p}^{p} \sin \left(\frac{n \pi}{p} x\right) d x=\frac{1}{n}$
$\Rightarrow f(x)=\frac{\pi}{4}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[\frac{1-(-1)^{n}}{n^{2} \pi} \cos (n x)+\frac{1}{n} \sin (n x)\right]$
Remark 2.3. (Cosine and Sine series)

- If f has $f(-x)=f(x)$ property on $(-P, P)$, then its Fourier expansion is $a_{0}=\frac{1}{p} \int_{-p}^{p} f(x) d x=\frac{2}{p} \int_{0}^{p} f(x) d x$
$a_{n}=\frac{1}{p} \int_{-p}^{p} f(x) \cos \left(\frac{n \pi}{p} x\right) d x=x \int_{0}^{p} \cos \left(\frac{n \pi}{p} x\right) d x$
$b_{n}=\frac{1}{p} \int_{-p}^{p} \sin \left(\frac{n \pi}{p} x\right) d x=0 \Rightarrow f(x)=\frac{a_{0}}{2}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} \cos \left(\frac{n \pi}{p} x\right)$
- If f has $f(-x)=-f(x)$ property on $(-P, P)$, then its Fourier expansion is

$$
f(x)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n} \sin \left(\frac{n \pi}{p} x\right) \rightarrow \text { Fouriersineseries }
$$

where $b_{n}=\frac{2}{p} \int_{0}^{p} f(x) \sin \left(\frac{n \pi}{p} x\right) d x$
Example 2.4. Expand $f(x)=-1,-\pi<x<0$ and $f(x)=1,0<x<\pi$ in a Fourier series. Note that the interval $(-p, p)=(-\pi, \pi)$
Since $f(x)$ is odd at $(-\pi, \pi), f(x)$ could be simply expanded in sine series

$$
\Rightarrow f(x)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n} \sin \left(\frac{n \pi}{p} x\right)
$$

where $b_{n}=\frac{2}{p} \int_{0}^{p} f(x) \sin \left(\frac{n \pi}{p}\right) d x=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} f(x) \sin (n x) d x=\frac{2}{\pi} \cdot \frac{1-(-1)^{n}}{n}$
Remark 2.5. In general, $f(x)$ defined on $(a, a+2 p), a \in \mathbb{R}$ could also be expanded in a Fourier series. Suppose that $f(x)$ is a function defined on ( $0,2 p$ ) and Fourier series is given by

$$
f(x)=\frac{a_{0}}{2}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[a_{n} \cos \left(\frac{n \pi}{p} x\right)+b_{n} \sin \left(\frac{n \pi}{p} x\right)\right]
$$


where $a_{o}=\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{2 p} f(x) d x$
$a_{n}=\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{2 p} f(x) \cos \left(\frac{n \pi}{p} x\right) d x$
$b_{n}=\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{2 p} f(x) \sin \left(\frac{n \pi}{p} x\right) d x$

## 3 Elementary Partial Differential Equation

Here we only focus on how to solve the simple partial differential equations, and three main solving techniques are provided, Separation of Variable Laplace Transformation Combination of Variable

### 3.1 Separation of Variable Method

The method of Separation of Variables cannot always be used and even when it can be used it will not always be possible to get much past the first step in the method. However, it can be used to easily solve the $1-D$ heat equation with no sources, the $1-D$ wave equation, and the $2-D$ version of Laplace's Equation, $\nabla^{2} u=0$.

In order to use the method of separation of variables we must be working with a linear homogenous partial differential equations with linear homogeneous boundary conditions. At this point we're not going to worry about the initial condition(s) because the solution that we initially get will rarely satisfy the initial condition(s). As we'll see however there are ways to generate a solution that will satisfy initial condition(s) provided they meet some fairly simple requirements.

The method of separation of variables relies upon the assumption that a function of the form,

$$
u(x, t)=\psi(x) G(t)
$$

will be a solution to a linear homogeneous partial differential equation in x and $t$. This is called a product solution and provided the boundary conditions are also linear and homogeneous this will also satisfy the boundary conditions. However, as noted above this will only rarely satisfy the initial condition, but that is something for us to worry about in the next section.

Example 3.1. Give $T(x, y)$, a function of $x \& y$ : steady-state, solid and no heat generation $\Rightarrow \nabla^{2} T=0 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial^{2}}=0$ Boundary Conditions are, $x=0, T=0$
$y=0, T=0$
$x=L, T=0$
$y=b, T=f(x)$
Let $T(x, y)=\bar{X}(x) \cdot \bar{Y}(y)$ be put back into the original equation $\frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial^{2}}=$ $0 \Rightarrow \bar{Y}(y) \frac{d^{2} \bar{X}}{d x^{2}}+\bar{X}(x) \frac{d^{2} \bar{Y}}{d y^{2}}=0$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Rightarrow \frac{1}{\bar{X}} \frac{d^{2} \bar{X}}{d x^{2}}+\frac{1}{\bar{Y}} \frac{d^{2} \bar{Y}}{d y^{2}}=0 \\
\Rightarrow-\frac{1}{\bar{X}} \frac{d^{2} \bar{X}}{d x^{2}}=\frac{1}{\bar{Y}} \frac{d^{2} \bar{Y}}{d y^{2}}=\lambda^{2}, \lambda: \text { Eigenvalueconstant } \\
\left\{\begin{array}{rr}
\frac{d^{2} \bar{X}}{d x^{2}}+\lambda^{2} \bar{X}=0 & x=0, T=0 \rightarrow T(0, y)=\bar{X}(0) \cdot \bar{Y}(y)=0 \\
\frac{d^{2} \bar{Y}}{d y^{2}}+\lambda^{2} \bar{Y}=0 & x=L=0 \rightarrow T(L, y)=\bar{X}(L) \cdot \bar{Y}(y)=0 \\
y=0, T=0 \rightarrow \bar{Y}(y)=0 \\
y=b, T=f(x)
\end{array}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

- From $\frac{d^{2} \bar{X}}{d x^{2}}+\lambda^{2} \bar{X}=0$ (2nd order homogeneous ordinary differential equation)
Let $\bar{X}=e^{r}, r^{2}+\lambda^{2}=0$, $r^{2}=i \lambda$ or $r^{2}=-i \lambda$,
$\bar{X}=A \cos \lambda x+B \sin \lambda x$
Put BC1 into the system, and we have
$A \bar{Y}(y)=0 \Rightarrow A=0$
Put $B C 2$ into the system, and we have
$B \sin \lambda L=0$, and $B \neq 0$, thus $\sin \lambda L=0 \Rightarrow \lambda=\frac{n \pi}{L}, n=1,2,3, \ldots$ (eigen value)
so $\bar{X}=B \sin \left(\frac{n \pi}{L} x\right)($ eigen function $)$
- From $\frac{d^{2} \bar{Y}}{d y^{2}}+\lambda^{2} \bar{Y}=0, r^{2}-\lambda^{2}=0 \Rightarrow r^{2}= \pm \lambda, \bar{Y}=C e^{\lambda y}+D e^{-\lambda y}$
and since $\cosh (\lambda y)=\frac{e^{\lambda y}+e^{-\lambda y}}{2}, \sinh (\lambda y)=\frac{e^{\lambda y}-e^{-\lambda y}}{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Rightarrow \bar{Y}=C \cdot \cosh (\lambda y)+D \cdot \sinh (\lambda y) \\
& \Rightarrow \bar{Y}=C \cosh \left(\frac{n \pi}{L} y\right)+D \sinh \left(\frac{n \pi}{L} y\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Put BC3 into the system, and we have
$0=C \cdot \cosh 0+D \cdot \sinh o=C \Rightarrow \bar{Y}=S \cdot \sinh \left(\frac{n \pi}{L} y\right)$

- $T(x, y)=\bar{X}(x) \cdot \bar{Y}(y)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B \sin \left(\frac{n \pi}{L} x\right) \cdot D \sinh \left(\frac{n \pi}{L} y\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{n} \sin \left(\frac{n \pi}{L} x\right)$. $D \sinh \left(\frac{n \pi}{L} y\right)$
- Put BC4 into $f(x)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{n} \sinh \left(\frac{n \pi b}{L}\right) \cdot \sinh \left(\frac{n \pi x}{L}\right)$

By the definition of Fourier sin-series expansion, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
E_{n} \sinh \left(\frac{n \pi}{L} b\right)=\frac{L}{2} \int_{0}^{L} f(x) \sin \left(\frac{n \pi x}{L}\right) d x \\
\Rightarrow E_{n}=\frac{\frac{L}{2} \int_{0}^{L} f(x) \sin \left(\frac{n \pi x}{L}\right) d x}{\sinh \left(\frac{n \pi b}{L}\right)}
\end{gathered}
$$

- $T(x, y)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{n} \sin \left(\frac{n \pi x}{L}\right) \cdot \sinh \left(\frac{n \pi y}{L}\right)$, where $E_{n}=\frac{\frac{L}{2} \int_{0}^{L} f(x) \sin \left(\frac{n \pi x}{L}\right) d x}{\sinh \left(\frac{n \pi b}{L}\right)}$


### 3.2 Laplace Transformation Method

Assume $T(x, t), x \leq x \leq b, t \geq 0, L[T(x, t)]=T(x, s)$

1. $L\left[\frac{\partial T}{\partial t}\right]=s \bar{T}(x, s)-\bar{T}(x, 0)$
2. $L\left[\frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial t^{2}}\right]=s^{2} \bar{T}(x, s)-s \bar{T}(x, 0)$, where $T_{t}=\frac{\partial T}{\partial t}$
3.L $\left[\frac{\partial T}{\partial x}\right]=\frac{d}{d x} \bar{T}(x, s)$
3. $L\left[\frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial x^{2}}\right]=\frac{d^{2}}{d x} \bar{T}(x, s)$

Example 3.2. $\frac{\partial T}{\partial x}+x \frac{\partial T}{\partial t}=0, T(x, 0)=0, T(0, t)=4 t$
Take Laplace on each part of PDE, which is

$$
\frac{d T}{d x}+x[s \bar{T}-\bar{T}(x, 0)]
$$

And put $T(x, 0)=0$ into it then get $\frac{d T}{d x}+x s \bar{T}=0\left(1^{\text {st }}\right.$ orderlinearODE) $\bar{T}(x, s)=c \cdot \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} s x^{2}\right), \bar{T}(0, s)=L[T(0, t)]=L[4 t]-\frac{4}{s^{2}} \Rightarrow c=-\frac{4}{s^{2}} \Rightarrow$ $\bar{T}(x, s)=-\frac{4}{s^{2}} \cdot \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} s x^{2}\right)$ Thus the solution for PDE is as

$$
\bar{T}(x, t)=L^{-1}[T(x, s)]=L^{-1}\left[-\frac{4}{s^{2}} \cdot \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} s x^{2}\right)\right]=4\left(t-\frac{1}{2} x^{2}\right) u\left(t-\frac{1}{2} x^{2}\right)
$$

By $2^{\text {nd }}$-transition theorem
Remark 3.3. Other kinds of PDE could be solved by Laplace transformation

- Heat equation $k \frac{\partial u^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}=\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$
- Laplace equation $\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}}=0$


### 3.3 Combination of Variable Method

Remark 3.4. Combination of Variable method is useful for homogeneous ODE, and non-homogenous Boundary Condition

Example 3.5. Given $Q(x, t)$ a function of $x \& t$,

$$
\frac{\partial Q}{\partial t}=\alpha \frac{\partial^{2} Q}{\partial x^{2}}
$$

And the Boundary Condition is given as,

$$
t=0, Q=0 ; x=0, Q=1 ; x=\infty, Q=0
$$

By combination of variable method,
Let $\eta=a x^{b} t^{c} \Rightarrow Q(x, t)=Q(\eta)$
Then $\frac{\partial Q}{\partial t}=\frac{d Q}{d \eta} \cdot \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t}=\frac{c \eta}{t} Q^{\prime}$
$\stackrel{c \eta}{-} t=c a x^{b} t^{c-1}=c \frac{\eta}{t}$
$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x}=\frac{d Q}{d \eta} \cdot \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x}=\frac{b \eta}{x} Q^{\prime}$
$\frac{\partial^{2} Q}{\partial x^{2}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x}\right)=\frac{-b \eta Q^{\prime}}{x^{2}}+\frac{b^{2} \eta}{x^{2}}\left(\eta Q^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$
And put them back into the original ODE $\frac{\partial Q}{\partial t}=\alpha \frac{\partial^{2} Q}{\partial x^{2}}$

$$
\Rightarrow \frac{c \eta}{t} Q=\alpha\left[\frac{-b \eta Q^{\prime}}{x^{2}}+\frac{b^{2} \eta}{e^{2}}\left(\eta Q^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right]
$$

Multiply both sides by $\frac{x^{2}}{\eta}$

$$
\frac{c x^{2}}{t} Q^{\prime}=\alpha\left[-b Q^{\prime}+b^{2}\left(\eta Q^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right]=\alpha\left[-b Q^{\prime}+b^{2} Q^{\prime}+b^{2} \eta Q^{\prime \prime}\right]
$$

Because of the relationship of $\frac{x^{2}}{t}, b: c=2:(-1)$, and $b^{2}-b=0 \Rightarrow b=1$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Rightarrow-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\eta}{a}\right)^{2} Q^{\prime} \alpha\left[-Q^{\prime}+Q^{\prime}+\eta Q^{\prime \prime}\right] \\
\Rightarrow Q^{\prime \prime}+\frac{\eta}{2 a^{2} \alpha} Q^{\prime}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Replace $Q^{\prime}=u$, and $Q^{\prime \prime}=\frac{d u}{d \eta}$

$$
\Rightarrow \frac{d u}{d \eta}+\frac{\eta}{2 a^{2} \alpha} u=0
$$

which is $1^{\text {st }}$-order $O D E$, and take integration on both sides of the equation, which is as,

$$
\ln (u)+\frac{\eta^{2}}{4 a^{2} \alpha}=\ln \left(c_{1}\right)
$$

And let $\frac{1}{4 a^{2} \alpha}=1 \Rightarrow a=\frac{1}{\sqrt{4 \alpha}}$
Thus

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Rightarrow \eta=a x^{b} t^{c}=\frac{x}{\sqrt{4 \alpha t}} \\
\Rightarrow \ln (u)+\eta^{2}=\ln \left(c_{1}\right) \\
\Rightarrow u=c_{1} e^{-\eta^{2}}=\frac{d Q}{d \eta} \\
\Rightarrow Q=c_{1} \int_{0}^{\eta} d^{-\eta^{2}} d \eta+c_{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Boundary conditions are $\eta=0, Q=1$, and $\eta=\infty, Q=0$ Put BC1 into $Q=c_{1} \int_{0}^{\eta} d^{-\eta^{2}} d \eta+c_{2} \Rightarrow c_{2}=1$ And Put BC2 into $Q=c_{1} \int_{0}^{\eta} d^{-\eta^{2}} d \eta+c_{2} \Rightarrow$ $c_{1}=\frac{1}{\int_{0}^{\eta} e^{-\eta^{2} d \eta}}$
Finally, the solution is

$$
Q=1-\frac{\int_{0}^{\eta} e^{-\eta^{2}} d \eta}{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\eta^{2}} d \eta}
$$

## 4 Feynman-Kac formula

Theorem 4.1. (Feynman-Kac formula) Consider the stochastic differential equation

$$
d X_{t}=\beta\left(t, X_{t}\right) d t+\gamma\left(t, X_{t}\right) d W_{t}
$$

Let f be a Borel-measurable function. Fixe $T>0$ and let $t \in[0, T]$ be given. Define the function,

$$
g(t, x)=\mathbb{E}^{t x}\left[f\left(X_{T}\right)\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[f\left(X_{T}\right) \mid X_{T}=x\right]
$$

Assume that $g(t, x)<\infty$ for all $(t, x)$. Then $g(t, x)$ satisfies the partial differential equation

$$
g_{t}(t, x)+\beta(t, x) g_{x}(t, x)+\frac{1}{2} \gamma^{2}(t, x) g_{x x}(t, x)=0
$$

with the terminal condition $g(T, x)=f(x)$ for all x .
Remark 4.2. $\left(g\left(t, X_{t}\right)\right)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ is a martingale
Theorem 4.3. (Discounted Feynman-Kac formula) Consider the stochastic differential equation

$$
d S_{t}=r \beta\left(t, S_{t}\right) d t+\sigma \gamma\left(t, S_{t}\right) d W_{t}
$$

Let f be a Borel-measural function and let r be constant. Fix $T>0$ and let $t \in[0, T]$ be given. Define the function

$$
h(t, x)=\mathbb{E}^{t, x}\left[e^{-r(T-t)} f\left(X_{T}\right)\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-r(T-t)} f\left(X_{T}\right) \mid X_{t}=x\right]
$$

Assume that $h(t, x)<\infty$ for all $(t, x)$. Then $h(t, x)$ satisfies the partial differential equation

$$
h_{t}(t, x)+\beta(t, x) h_{x}(t, x)+\frac{1}{2} \gamma^{2}(t, x) h_{x x}(t, x)=r h(t, x)
$$

with the terminal condition $h(T, x)=f(x)$ for all x
Example 4.4. Suppose that $\left(S_{t}\right)$ satisfies the stochastic differential equation

$$
d S_{t}=r S_{t} d t+\sigma S_{t} d W_{t}
$$

Let

$$
f(t, x)=\mathbb{E}^{t, x}\left[h\left(S_{t}\right)\right]
$$

Then $f(t, x)$ satisfies the partial differential equation

$$
f_{t}(t, x)+r x f_{x}(t, x)+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} x^{2} f_{x x}(t, x)=r f(t, x)
$$

with terminal condition $h(T, x)=f(x)$. This equation could be solved numerically.

Theorem 4.5. (2-dimensional Feynman-Kac formula) Let $W_{t}=\left(W_{t}^{1}, W_{t}^{2}\right)$ be a 2-dimensional Brownian motion. Consider two stochastic differential equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d X_{t}=\beta_{1}\left(t, X_{t}, Y_{t}\right) d t+\gamma_{11}\left(t, X_{t}, Y_{t}\right) d W_{t}^{1}+\gamma_{12}\left(t, X_{t}, Y_{t}\right) d W_{t}^{2} \\
& d X_{t}=\beta_{2}\left(t, X_{t}, Y_{t}\right) d t+\gamma_{21}\left(t, X_{t}, Y_{t}\right) d W_{t}^{1}+\gamma_{22}\left(t, X_{t}, Y_{t}\right) d W_{t}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $f(x, y)$ be Borel measurable function, define

$$
\begin{gathered}
g(t, x, y)=\mathbb{E}^{t, x, y}\left[f\left(X_{T}, Y_{T}\right)\right] \\
h(t, x, y)=\mathbb{E}^{t, x, y}\left[e^{-r(T-t)} f\left(X_{T}, Y_{T}\right)\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

Then $g$ and $h$ satisfy the partial differential equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{t}+\beta_{1} g_{x}+\beta_{2} g_{y}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma_{11}^{2}+\gamma_{12}^{2}\right) g_{x x}+\left(\gamma_{11} \gamma_{12}+\gamma_{21} \gamma_{22}\right) g_{x y}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma_{21}^{2}+\gamma_{22}^{2}\right) g_{y y} \\
& h_{t}+\beta_{1} h_{x}+\beta_{2} h_{y}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma_{11}^{2}+\gamma_{12}^{2}\right) h_{x x}+\left(\gamma_{11} \gamma_{12}+\gamma_{21} \gamma_{22}\right) h_{x y}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma_{21}^{2}+\gamma_{22}^{2}\right) h_{y y}
\end{aligned}
$$

with terminal conditions

$$
g(T, x, y)=h(T, x, y)=f(x, y)
$$

for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$

## 5 Black-Scholes Economy and Black-Scholes Formula

There are two assets: a risky stock S and riskless bond B: These assets are driven by the SDEs

$$
\begin{gathered}
d S_{t}=\mu S_{t} d t+\sigma S_{t} d W_{t} \\
d B_{t}=r_{t} B_{t} d t
\end{gathered}
$$

The time zero value of the bond is $B_{0}=1$ and that of the stock is $S_{0}$. The model is valid under certain market assumptions.
Let $C\left(t, S_{t}\right)$ be the European call price. With Ito lemma, it must satisfy

$$
d C=\left[C_{t}+\mu S C_{S}+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} S^{2} C_{S S}\right] d t+\sigma S C_{S} d W
$$

Let V denote the dollar value of a portfolio with x shares of stock and y dollars of deposit

$$
V=x S+y
$$

If this is self-financing portfolio,i.e., $y=V-x S$, then

$$
d V=x d S+y r d t
$$

Let $x=C_{s}$. Then $V-C$ is a risk-free portfolio, and we have

$$
d(V-C)=\left[r V-r S C_{S}-C_{t}-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} S^{2} C_{S S}\right] d t=r(V-C) d t
$$

Now we have the Black-Scholes PDE

$$
C_{t}+r S C_{S}+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} S^{2} C_{S S}-r C=0
$$

with $V(T)=C\left(T, S_{T}\right)=\max \left(S_{T}-K, 0\right)$
Solving $C_{t}+r S C_{S}+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} S^{2} C_{S S}-r C=0$ gives us,

$$
C\left(t, S_{t}\right)=S \mathcal{N}\left(d_{1}\right)-K e^{-r(T-t)} \mathcal{N}\left(d_{2}\right)
$$

where $\mathcal{N}(\cdot)$ is the standard normal CDF and

$$
\begin{gathered}
d_{1}=\frac{\log \left(\frac{S_{t}}{K}\right)+\left(r+\sigma^{2} / 2\right)(T-t)}{\sigma \sqrt{T-t}} \\
d_{2}=d_{1}-\sigma \sqrt{T-t}
\end{gathered}
$$

And this is the famous Black-Scholes Formula. Besides, Put-call parity is

$$
C+K e^{-r(T-t)}=P+S
$$

And European put-option formula is

$$
P(t, S)=K e^{-r(T-t)} \mathcal{N}\left(-d_{2}\right)-S \mathcal{N}\left(-d_{1}\right)
$$

Remark 5.1. By Ito Lemma the value $S_{t}$ of a derivative written on the stock follows the diffusion, and here we do not assume the functional form of $V_{t}$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
d V_{t}=\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} d t+\frac{\partial V}{\partial S} d S+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial S^{2}}(d S)^{2} \\
=\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial t}+\mu S_{t} \frac{\partial V}{\partial S}+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} S_{t}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial S^{2}}\right) d t+\left(\sigma S_{t} \frac{\partial V}{\partial S}\right) d W_{t}
\end{gathered}
$$

